arXiv:1111.5873v4 [math.DG] 24 Jun 2012

CLASSIFICATION OF COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON 6-DIMENSIONAL NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS

M. CEBALLOS, A. OTAL, L. UGARTE, AND R. VILLACAMPA

Abstract. We classify complex structures on 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras up to equivalence. As an application, the behaviour of the associated Frölicher sequence is studied as well as its relation to the existence of strongly Gauduchon metrics. We also show that the strongly Gauduchon property and the balanced property are not closed under holomorphic deformation.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra endowed with an endomorphism $J\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$. The endomorphism J is a *complex structure* if the integrability condition

$$[JX, JY] = J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] + [X, Y]$$

is satisfied for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$; equivalently, the i-eigenspace $\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}$ of J in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a complex subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ admitting a complex structure were classified by Salamon [27] up to dimension 6. More recently, Andrada, Barberis and Dotti classified in [2] the 6-dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ having a complex structure J of abelian type, that is, the complex subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}$ is abelian, or equivalently $[JX, JY] = [X, Y]$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A related question is to determine the complex structures on a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ up to isomorphism in the following sense. Two complex structures J and J' on $\mathfrak{g}$ are *equivalent* if there exists an automorphism $F\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra such that $J = F^{-1} \circ J' \circ F$. The latter condition is equivalent to say that F, extended to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, satisfies $F(\mathfrak{g}^{J}_{1,0}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{J'}_{1,0}$. If $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the space of complex structures on $\mathfrak{g}$ then $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ parametrizes the equivalence classes of complex structures on $\mathfrak{g}$.

A classification of abelian complex structures in dimension 6 is given in [2]. Some partial results on nilpotent Lie algebras can be found in several papers [6, 19, 29, 30], although to our knowledge there is no complete classification of complex structures on 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. This is our main goal here.

The classification of complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras provides a classification of *invariant* complex structures on nilmanifolds. Let M be a nilmanifold of even dimension, i.e. a compact quotient of a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group G by a lattice Γ of maximal rank. Any complex structure J on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G gives rise to a left-invariant complex structure on G which descends to a complex structure on the quotient M in a natural way. Several aspects of this complex geometry have been investigated, as for instance the Dolbeault cohomology [7, 13, 25], complex deformations [6, 8, 20, 26] or the existence of special Hermitian metrics [16, 29]. Recently, it is proved in [4] that the canonical bundle of any complex nilmanifold is holomorphically trivial and some applications to hypercomplex geometry are given.

As a first application of the classification of complex structures on 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras we study the behaviour of the Frölicher sequence [17]. Recall that the Frölicher sequence $E_r(M,J)$ of a complex manifold (M,J) is the spectral sequence associated to the double complex $(\Omega^{p,q}(M,J),\partial,\bar\partial)$, where $\partial+\bar\partial=d$ is the decomposition, with respect to J, of the exterior differential d. The first term $E_1(M,J)$ is precisely the Dolbeault cohomology of (M,J) and after a finite number of steps the sequence converges to the de Rham cohomology of M. The first examples of compact complex manifolds for which $E_2 \ncong E_\infty$ were independently found in [9] and [21]. The examples in [9] are complex nilmanifolds of complex dimension 3, which is the lowest possible dimension for which the Frölicher sequence can be nondegenerate at E_2. More recently, Rollenske constructs in [24] complex nilmanifolds for which the Frölicher spectral sequence can be arbitrarily non-degenerate. The behaviour of the Frölicher sequence has been studied for some other complex manifolds [14, 28], but as far as we know the general behaviour of the sequence for complex nilmanifolds has not been studied, although some partial results can be found in [10, 11, 12]. Here we study the Frölicher sequence for any complex structure on a 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra.

As a second application of the classification of complex structures we consider strongly Gauduchon (sG) metrics in the sense of Popovici [22]. Any balanced Hermitian metric is sG and any sG metric is a Gauduchon metric [18]. In [23] the relation between the degeneration of the Frölicher sequence at E_1 and the existence of sG metrics is studied, showing that these two notions are unrelated. We study the general behaviour of the Frölicher sequence on 6-nilmanifolds in relation to the existence of sG or balanced metrics. Moreover, Popovici has proved in [22] that the sG property of compact complex manifolds is open under holomorphic deformations, and conjectured in [23] that the sG property and the balanced property of compact complex manifolds are closed under holomorphic deformation. We construct a counterexample to both closedness conjectures.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review some general facts about complex structures on a 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. By [27] such $\mathfrak{g}$ must be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{h}_{16}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ (see Theorem 2.1 for a description of the Lie algebras). Of special interest is $\mathfrak{h}_5$ because it corresponds to the real Lie algebra underlying the Iwasawa manifold, whose complex geometry is studied in [19]. On the first sixteen classes the complex structure is necessarily of *nilpotent* type in the sense of [13] (see (1) for details).

We classify the non-abelian nilpotent complex structures on 2-step and 3-step nilpotent Lie algebras in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. From the results in these sections and using the classification of non-nilpotent complex structures obtained in [30] as well as the classification of abelian complex structures given in [2], we present in Tables 1 and 2 of Section 5 the complete classification of complex structures up to equivalence.

Since J equivalent to J' implies that the terms in the associated Frölicher sequences are isomorphic, as an application we study the general behaviour of the Frölicher sequence $E_r(\mathfrak{g},J)$ in Section 6 (see Theorems 6.4 and 6.5 for details). We find that $E_2 \ncong E_\infty$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}\cong\mathfrak{h}_{13},\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$. Moreover, $E_1\cong E_2\ncong E_3\cong E_\infty$ for any J on $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$. In contrast, $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$ has a rich complex geometry with respect to Frölicher sequence because it admits complex structures for which $E_1\ncong E_2\cong E_\infty$, $E_1\cong E_2\ncong E_3\cong E_\infty$ or even $E_1\ncong E_2\ncong E_3\cong E_\infty$. In Example 6.7 we give a continuous curve J_t of non-equivalent complex structures on $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$ along which the Frölicher sequence has these three behaviours. We also show that a nilmanifold with underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_6$ has a complex structure with degenerate Frölicher sequence and satisfying $h^{p,q}_{\bar\partial} = h^{q,p}_{\bar\partial}$ for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, which provides an answer to a question recently posed in [3].

In section 7 we study the existence of sG metrics on 6-dimensional nilmanifolds endowed with an invariant complex structure and show that the underlying Lie algebra must be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{h}_6$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$. It is also proved that the existence of sG metric implies the degeneration of the Frölicher sequence at E_2. Using [31] we give in Proposition 7.7 a classification of complex structures having sG metrics but not admitting any balanced metric. Finally, based on the complex geometry of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_4$, in Theorem 7.9 we show that neither the sG property nor the balanced property of compact complex manifolds are closed under holomorphic deformation.

2. COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON NLAs

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of even dimension. An endomorphism $J\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ is said to be *integrable* if it satisfies the "Nijenhuis condition"

$$[JX, JY] = J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] + [X, Y],$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this case we shall say that J is a *complex structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ its dual, which is canonically identified to $(\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given an endomorphism $J\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, there is a natural bigraduation induced on the complexified exterior algebra $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{p,q} \bigwedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, where the spaces $\bigwedge^{1,0}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\bigwedge^{0,1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which we shall also denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$, are the eigenspaces of the eigenvalues $\pm i$ of J as an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively.

Let $d\colon \bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{*+1} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the extension to the complexified exterior algebra of the usual Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. It is well-known that J is integrable if and only if $\pi_{0,2} \circ d|_{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}} \equiv 0$, where $\pi_{p,q}\colon \bigwedge^{p+q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ denotes the canonical projection onto the subspace of forms of type (p, q).

We shall focus on *nilpotent* Lie algebras (NLA for short), that is, the *descending central series* $\{\mathfrak{g}^k\}_{k\geq 0}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is defined inductively by

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}^k = [\mathfrak{g}^{k-1}, \mathfrak{g}], \quad k \geq 1,$$

satisfies that $\mathfrak{g}^k = 0$ for some k. If s is the first positive integer with this property, then the NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *s-step* nilpotent.

Salamon proves in [27] the following equivalent condition for the integrability of J on a $2n$-dimensional NLA: J is a complex structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ has a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that $d\omega^1 = 0$ and

$$d\omega^j \in \mathcal{I}(\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{j-1}), \qquad \text{for } j = 2, \ldots, n,$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{j-1})$ is the ideal in $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{j-1}\}$.

A complex structure J on a $2n$-dimensional NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *nilpotent* if there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^n$ for $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ satisfying $d\omega^1 = 0$ and

$$d\omega^j \in \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \langle \omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{j-1}, \omega^{\overline{1}}, \ldots, \omega^{\overline{j-1}} \rangle, \qquad \text{for } j = 2, \ldots, n. \tag{1}$$

Equivalently [13], the *ascending series* $\{\mathfrak{g}_l^J\}_{l\geq 0}$ *for* $\mathfrak{g}$ *adapted to* J, which is defined inductively by $\mathfrak{g}_0^J = 0$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}_l^J = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \ [J^k(X), \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{l-1}^J \ , k = 1, 2\,\}, \quad \text{for } l \geq 1,$$

satisfies that $\mathfrak{g}_l^J = \mathfrak{g}$ for some positive integer l.

There are two well-known special classes of nilpotent complex structures. On one hand, the *abelian* class consisting of those complex structures J satisfying $[JX, JY] = [X, Y]$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, or equivalently $d(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) \subset \bigwedge^{1,1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; they are also characterized by the fact that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ is abelian. On the other hand, the class of *complex-parallelizable* structures for which $[JX, Y] = J[X, Y]$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, or equivalently $d(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) \subset \bigwedge^{2,0}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; these structures are the natural complex structures of the *complex Lie algebras.*

In six dimensions, the classification of NLAs in terms of the different types of complex structures that they admit is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. [27, 29] *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be an NLA of dimension* 6*. Then,* $\mathfrak{g}$ *has a complex structure if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras:*[1]

$$\begin{array}{lcllcl}
\mathfrak{h}_1 & = & (0,0,0,0,0,0), & \mathfrak{h}_{10} & = & (0,0,0,12,13,14),\\
\mathfrak{h}_2 & = & (0,0,0,0,12,34), & \mathfrak{h}_{11} & = & (0,0,0,12,13,14+23),\\
\mathfrak{h}_3 & = & (0,0,0,0,0,12+34), & \mathfrak{h}_{12} & = & (0,0,0,12,13,24),\\
\mathfrak{h}_4 & = & (0,0,0,0,12,14+23), & \mathfrak{h}_{13} & = & (0,0,0,12,13+14,24),\\
\mathfrak{h}_5 & = & (0,0,0,0,13+42,14+23), & \mathfrak{h}_{14} & = & (0,0,0,12,14,13+42),\\
\mathfrak{h}_6 & = & (0,0,0,0,12,13), & \mathfrak{h}_{15} & = & (0,0,0,12,13+42,14+23),\\
\mathfrak{h}_7 & = & (0,0,0,12,13,23), & \mathfrak{h}_{16} & = & (0,0,0,12,14,24),\\
\mathfrak{h}_8 & = & (0,0,0,0,0,12), & \mathfrak{h}_{19}^- & = & (0,0,0,12,23,14-35),\\
\mathfrak{h}_9 & = & (0,0,0,0,12,14+25), & \mathfrak{h}_{26}^+ & = & (0,0,12,13,23,14+25).
\end{array}$$

Moreover:

(*a*) *Any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ *is non-nilpotent.*
(*b*) *For* $1 \leq k \leq 16$*, any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_k$ *is nilpotent.*
(*c*) *Any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_1$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_3$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_8$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_9$ *is abelian.*
(*d*) *There exist both abelian and non-abelian nilpotent complex structures on* $\mathfrak{h}_2$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_4$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_5$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$*.*
(*e*) *Any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_6$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_7$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$*,* $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ *is not abelian.*

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra endowed with two complex structures J and J'. We recall that J and J' are said to be *equivalent* if there is an automorphism $F\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra such that $J' = F^{-1} \circ J \circ F$, that is, F is a linear automorphism such that $F^*\colon \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ commutes with the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential d and F commutes with the complex structures J and J'. The latter condition is equivalent to say that F^*, extended to the complexified exterior algebra, preserves the bigraduations induced by J and J'.

Notice that if $\mathfrak{g}_J^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{J'}^{1,0}$ denote the $(1,0)$-subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ associated to J and J', then the complex structures J and J' are equivalent if and only if there is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $F^*\colon \mathfrak{g}_J^{1,0} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J'}^{1,0}$ such that $d \circ F^* = F^* \circ d$.

---

[1] Here we use the usual notation, i.e. for instance $\mathfrak{h}_2 = (0,0,0,0,12,34)$ means that there is a basis $\{e^j\}_{j=1}^6$ satisfying $de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0$, $de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2$, $de^6 = e^3 \wedge e^4$; equivalently, the Lie bracket is given in terms of its dual basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^6$ by $[e_1, e_2] = -e_5$, $[e_3, e_4] = -e_6$.

It is clear that the nilpotency condition for a complex structure is invariant under equivalence, that is, if J' is equivalent to J then J is nilpotent if and only if J' is.

In six dimensions, from Theorem 2.1 it follows that if $\mathfrak{g}$ admits complex structures then all of them are either nilpotent or non-nilpotent. The classification of abelian complex structures is obtained in [2], whereas the non-nilpotent complex structures are classified in [30] (see Section 5 for details). Therefore, it remains to study the class of non-abelian nilpotent complex structures. In order to provide such classification, our starting point is the following result:

Proposition 2.2. [29] *Let J be a nilpotent complex structure on an NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 6. There is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ for $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ satisfying*

$$(2)\qquad \left\{\begin{array}{rcl} d\omega^1 &=& 0,\\ d\omega^2 &=& \epsilon\,\omega^{1\bar{1}},\\ d\omega^3 &=& \rho\,\omega^{12} + (1-\epsilon)A\,\omega^{1\bar{1}} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + C\,\omega^{2\bar{1}} + (1-\epsilon)D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}, \end{array}\right.$$

where $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\epsilon, \rho \in \{0, 1\}$.

Here ω^{jk} (resp. $\omega^{j\overline{k}}$) means the wedge product $\omega^j \wedge \omega^k$ (resp. $\omega^j \wedge \omega^{\overline{k}}$), where $\omega^{\overline{k}}$ indicates the complex conjugation of ω^k. From now on, we shall use a similar abbreviated notation for "basic" forms of arbitrary bidegree.

Notice that the equations (2) above include the abelian complex structures as those for which $\rho = 0$. On the other hand, the complex parallelizable structures correspond to $\epsilon = 0$ and $A = B = C = D = 0$, and the possible Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{h}_1$ (for $\rho = 0$) and $\mathfrak{h}_5$ (for $\rho = 1$).

3. Non-abelian nilpotent complex structures on 6-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras

In this section we classify, up to equivalence, non-abelian nilpotent complex structures on 2-step NLAs $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 6. Such a Lie algebra has first Betti number at least 3, and if it is equal to 3 then necessarily the coefficient ϵ in (2) is non-zero. We consider firstly the case $\epsilon = 0$, i.e., the Lie algebra has first Betti number ≥ 4. We will finish the section considering $\epsilon = 1$.

The following proposition provides a further reduction of the equations (2) when $\epsilon = 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let J be a complex structure on a 2-step NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 6 with first Betti number ≥ 4. If J is not complex-parallelizable, then there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ such that*

$$(3)\qquad d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0,\; d\omega^3 = \rho\,\omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

where $D \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{Im}\, D \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda \geq 0$. Moreover, if we denote $x = \mathfrak{Re}\, D$ and $y = \mathfrak{Im}\, D$, then:

- (i) *If $\lambda = \rho$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to*
  - (i.1) *$\mathfrak{h}_2$, for $y \neq 0$;*
  - (i.2) *$\mathfrak{h}_3$, for $\rho = y = 0$ and $x \neq 0$;*
  - (i.3) *$\mathfrak{h}_4$, for $\rho = 1$, $y = 0$ and $x \neq 0$;*
  - (i.4) *$\mathfrak{h}_6$, for $\rho = 1$ and $x = y = 0$;*
  - (i.5) *$\mathfrak{h}_8$, for $\rho = x = y = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda \neq \rho$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to*

(ii.1) $\mathfrak{h}_2$, *for* $4y^2 > (\rho - \lambda^2)(4x + \rho - \lambda^2)$*;*
(ii.2) $\mathfrak{h}_4$, *for* $4y^2 = (\rho - \lambda^2)(4x + \rho - \lambda^2)$*;*
(ii.3) $\mathfrak{h}_5$, *for* $4y^2 < (\rho - \lambda^2)(4x + \rho - \lambda^2)$*.*

Proof. In [29, Lemma 11] it is proved that if J is a (non complex-parallelizable) complex structure on a 2-step NLA of dimension 6 with first Betti number ≥ 4, then there is a basis $\{\sigma^j\}_{j=1}^3$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ such that

$$d\sigma^1 = d\sigma^2 = 0,\ d\sigma^3 = \rho\,\sigma^{12} + \sigma^{1\bar{1}} + B\,\sigma^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\sigma^{2\bar{2}}, \tag{4}$$

where $B, D \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho = 0, 1$.

If $B \neq 0$ then we can take any non-zero solution z of $\bar{z}\frac{B}{|B|} = z$, and the equations (4) reduce to (3) with $\lambda = |B|$ with respect to the new basis $\{\omega^1 = z\,\sigma^1, \omega^2 = \bar{z}\,\sigma^2, \omega^3 = |z|^2\,\sigma^3\}$.

Consider now $B = \lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ in (4). If $D \neq 0$, then with respect to the new basis $\{\omega^1 = -\bar{D}\,\sigma^2, \omega^2 = \sigma^1 + \lambda\,\sigma^2, \omega^3 = \bar{D}\,\sigma^3\}$ we get (3) with $\bar{D}$ instead of D.

Finally, the second part of the proposition follows directly from [29, Proposition 13]. □

From Proposition 3.1 we have that on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_6$ or $\mathfrak{h}_8$ any two complex structures are equivalent. On the other hand, the complex equations

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} \pm \omega^{2\bar{2}}$$

define two non-equivalent complex structures on $\mathfrak{h}_3$, and any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is equivalent to one of them [29]. More generally, for $\rho = 0$ the complex structure is abelian and the classification problem has been solved recently in [2].

So, in the 2-step case, it remains to classify up to equivalence the non-abelian complex structures on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_4$ and $\mathfrak{h}_5$. We will consider from now on in this section that $\rho = 1$ and use the following:

Notation 3.2. Given any non-abelian complex structure J on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_4$ or $\mathfrak{h}_5$, we consider a basis $\{\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3\}$ satisfying (3) with $\rho = 1$, and we will represent the complex structure J simply by the triple $(1, \lambda, D)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let us consider the complex structure given by* $(1, \lambda, D)$*. Then:*

(i) *If* $D = 0$ *then, a complex structure* $(1, t, E)$ *is equivalent to* $(1, \lambda, 0)$ *if and only if* $t = \lambda$ *and* $E = 0$*.*
(ii) *If* $D \neq 0$ *then, a complex structure* $(1, t, E)$ *is equivalent to* $(1, \lambda, D)$ *if and only if there exist non-zero complex numbers* e, f *such that* $E = \frac{De^2}{|e|^2}$ *and*

$$-(\bar{D}\bar{e} - De)^2 \left(1 - \frac{|f|^2}{\bar{e}}\right) = (\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda\bar{D}\bar{e}f - tDe\bar{f}). \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let us consider the structure equations for $(1, \lambda, D)$ and $(1, t, E)$, that is,

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

$$d\sigma^1 = d\sigma^2 = 0, \quad d\sigma^3 = \sigma^{12} + \sigma^{1\bar{1}} + t\sigma^{1\bar{2}} + E\sigma^{2\bar{2}},$$

where $\lambda, t \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{Im}\, D, \mathfrak{Im}\, E \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.1.

If $(1,\lambda,D)$ and $(1,t,E)$ are equivalent then there is $M=(m_{ij})\in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sigma^i = m_{i1}\,\omega^1 + m_{i2}\,\omega^2 + m_{i3}\,\omega^3$, for $i=1,2,3$, and applying d to both sides we get that M is of the form

$$\sigma^1 = a\,\omega^1 + b\,\omega^2, \quad \sigma^2 = c\,\omega^1 + f\,\omega^2, \quad \sigma^3 = m_{31}\,\omega^1 + m_{32}\,\omega^2 + e\,\omega^3,$$

where $e\neq 0$, $af-bc\neq 0$, and satisfies the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \text{(I)} & e = af - bc, \\ \text{(II)} & e = |a|^2 + t\,a\bar{c} + E|c|^2, \\ \text{(III)} & \lambda e = a\bar{b} + t\,a\bar{f} + Ec\bar{f}, \\ \text{(IV)} & 0 = \bar{a}b + t\,b\bar{c} + E\bar{c}f, \\ \text{(V)} & De = |b|^2 + t\,b\bar{f} + E|f|^2. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Notice that the coefficients m_{31} and m_{32} are not relevant in order to obtain equivalences between complex structures. It is straight forward to see that coefficient f must be non-zero (otherwise $\lambda = t$ and $D = E$) and so we can express a as

$$a = \frac{e+bc}{f}.$$

First of all, let us suppose that $D=0$. Replacing a in (IV) and using (V) we obtain that $b=0$ and therefore $E=0$ by equation (V). Combining (I) and (III) we get that $\lambda f = t\bar{f}$. Since λ and t are real positive numbers, we conclude that $\lambda = t$, i.e. $(1,\lambda,0)$ defines an equivalence class for every $\lambda \geq 0$. This completes the proof of (i).

We suppose next that $D\neq 0$. In order to solve (6) we transform it into an equivalent system by doing several substitutions. Replacing a in equation (IV) and using (V) we can express

$$c = \frac{-\bar{b}e}{\bar{D}\bar{e}}.$$

Next, in (II) we can substitute a and c and use again (V) to obtain that

$$De = E\bar{e},$$

which implies in particular that $|D| = |E|$. Notice that since $D\neq 0$ we can assume that $E\neq \bar{D}$ by Proposition 3.1. Now, $\bar{c} = -b/E$. Proceeding in a similar way in equation (III) we get that

$$\bar{b} = \frac{\lambda f - t\bar{f}}{1 - D/\bar{E}}.$$

Finally, using the expressions of a, b and c, equation (V) is equivalent to

$$-(\bar{D}\bar{e} - De)^2\left(1 - \frac{|f|^2}{\bar{e}}\right) = (\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda\bar{D}\bar{e}f - tDe\bar{f}).$$

To summarize, system (6) is equivalent to

$$a = \frac{e+bc}{f}, \quad b = \frac{(\lambda\bar{f} - tf)De}{De - \bar{D}\bar{e}}, \quad c = \frac{(\lambda f - t\bar{f})e}{De - \bar{D}\bar{e}}, \quad De = E\bar{e},$$
$$-(\bar{D}\bar{e} - De)^2\left(1 - \tfrac{|f|^2}{\bar{e}}\right) = (\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda\bar{D}\bar{e}f - tDe\bar{f}),$$

where $e, f\in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, which completes the proof of (ii). □

Remark 3.4. As a consequence of (5), a necessary condition for the complex structures $(1, \lambda, D)$ and $(1, t, E)$ to be equivalent is that $|D| = |E|$. Given $(1, \lambda, D)$ with $D \neq 0$, to find an equivalent complex structure $(1, t, E)$ it suffices to find $t \geq 0$ and $e, f \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ satisfying (5), in which case E is necessarily given by $E = De/\bar{e}$.

Corollary 3.5. *If the structures* $(1, \lambda, D)$ *and* $(1, t, E)$ *(where* $E \neq \bar{D}$*) are equivalent, then* $\lambda = t \iff D = E$.

Proof. Let us consider $\lambda = t$ in (5):

$$-(\bar{D}\bar{e} - De)^2 \left(1 - \frac{|f|^2}{\bar{e}}\right) = \lambda^2(\bar{f} - f)(\bar{D}\bar{e}f - De\bar{f}).$$

The right side of the previous equality is a real number. If it is zero, then or $De = \bar{D}\bar{e}$ (which implies that $E = \bar{D}$), or $e = |f|^2$, that is, e is a real number and since $E = \frac{De^2}{|e|^2}$ we conclude that $D = E$. On the other hand, if it is a non-zero real number, then $1 - \frac{|f|^2}{\bar{e}}$ must also be a real number and then $e \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D = E$.

Case $D = E = 0$ is studied in the proof of Lemma 3.3. We can suppose then that $D = E \neq 0$. In this case $e \in \mathbb{R}$ and we can express it as

$$e = |f|^2 - \frac{(\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda\bar{D}f - tD\bar{f})}{(\bar{D} - D)^2},$$

using (5). Notice that by hypothesis $D \neq \bar{E} = \bar{D}$. To ensure that $e \in \mathbb{R}$ it must happen that $(\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda\bar{D}f - tD\bar{f}) \in \mathbb{R}$ or equivalently,

$$|f|^2(\lambda^2 - t^2)(\bar{D} - D) = 0.$$

As $f(\bar{D} - D) \neq 0$ the only possibility to solve the previous equation is $\lambda = t$. $\square$

From now on we will consider $D \neq 0$ and $t \neq \lambda$. Our next step is to obtain an equivalent condition to equation (5):

Lemma 3.6. *The necessary and sufficient condition to have an equivalence between the complex structures* $(1, \lambda, D)$ *and* $(1, t, \frac{De^2}{|e|^2})$ *is the following:*

$$4y^2 - (t^2 - \lambda^2)(4x + t^2 - \lambda^2) \geq 0, \tag{7}$$

where $x = \mathfrak{Re}\, D$ *and* $y = \mathfrak{Im}\, D$.

Proof. Let us denote $A = De$. With respect to this new variable, condition (5) reads as:

$$-(\bar{A} - A)^2 \left(\bar{A} - \bar{D}|f|^2\right) = \bar{A}(\lambda\bar{f} - tf)(\lambda f\bar{A} - t\bar{f}A).$$

Taking real and imaginary parts in the expression above we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 4A_2^2(A_1 - x|f|^2) = & |f|^2(t^2 - \lambda^2)A_2^2 + |f|^2(t^2 + \lambda^2)A_1^2 \\ & -2\lambda t(f_1^2 - f_2^2)A_1^2 - 4\lambda t A_1 A_2 f_1 f_2, \\ 4A_2^2(y|f|^2 - A_2) = & 2\lambda A_2\left[tA_1(f_1^2 - f_2^2) + 2tA_2 f_1 f_2 - \lambda|f|^2 A_1\right], \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $A = A_1 + iA_2$ and $f = f_1 + if_2$. We observe that $A_2 \neq 0$ (otherwise, we get a contradition using the first equation in (8)).

Substituting the second equation in (8) in the first one and replacing A by De, it is possible to express system (8) as:

$$\begin{cases} e_1^2(t^2-\lambda^2)+(4y)e_1e_2+e_2^2(t^2-\lambda^2+4x)=0, \\ 2A_2(y|f|^2-A_2)=\lambda\left[tA_1(f_1^2-f_2^2)+2tA_2f_1f_2-\lambda|f|^2A_1\right], \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where again $e=e_1+ie_2$.

To solve the first equation in (9) as a second degree equation in e_1 we need the discriminant to be greater than or equal to 0, i.e.,

$$4y^2-(t^2-\lambda^2)(4x+t^2-\lambda^2)\geq 0.$$

In this case, we obtain that

$$e_1=\frac{e_2\beta}{\lambda^2-t^2},$$

and so

$$e=e_2\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda^2-t^2}+i\right),$$

where

$$\beta=2y+\sqrt{4y^2-(t^2-\lambda^2)(4x+t^2-\lambda^2)}.$$

Finally, to determine e_2 we use the second equation in (9). □

Proposition 3.7. *If* (7) *holds, then there exists an equivalence between the complex structures:*

$$(1,\lambda,D) \qquad \left(1,t,D\left(\frac{\beta^2-(\lambda^2-t^2)^2}{\beta^2+(\lambda^2-t^2)^2}+\frac{2\beta(\lambda^2-t^2)}{\beta^2+(\lambda^2-t^2)^2}\,i\right)\right),$$

where

$$\beta=2y+\sqrt{4y^2-(t^2-\lambda^2)(4x+t^2-\lambda^2)}.$$

Comparing expressions (ii.1), (ii.2) and (ii.3) in Proposition 3.1 with condition (7), we observe that for $\mathfrak{h}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h}_4$ it is possible to take $t=1$ in order to get equivalences of complex structures.

Theorem 3.8. *Any non-abelian complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_2$ *is equivalent to one and only one structure in the following family:*

$$d\omega^1=d\omega^2=0, \quad d\omega^3=\omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+\omega^{1\bar{2}}+(x+iy)\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

where $y>0$.

Proof. The result follows directly from Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.5. □

Theorem 3.9. *Any non-abelian complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_4$ *is equivalent to one and only one structure in the following family:*

$$d\omega^1=d\omega^2=0, \quad d\omega^3=\omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+\omega^{1\bar{2}}+x\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

where $x\in\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$.

Proof. The result follows directly from Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.5. □

Proposition 3.10. *Any non-abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ belongs to one of the following families:*

(I) $d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0 \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+\lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}}+iy\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, *where* $0 \le 2y < |1-\lambda^2|$;

(II) $d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0 \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+(x+iy)\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, *where* $4y^2 < 1+4x$.

Moreover,

(i) *The structures in family (I) are non-equivalent;*

(ii) *The structures in family (II) are non-equivalent;*

(iii) *There exist equivalences between (I) and (II) if and only if*

$$2\lambda^2 \in [0,1)\,, \quad 2y \in [\lambda^2, 1-\lambda^2).$$

Proof. Let us consider a complex structure given by $(1,\lambda,D)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_5$, i.e., satisfying

$$4y^2 < (1-\lambda^2)(4x+1-\lambda^2). \tag{10}$$

If $\lambda^2 \ge 2x$, there exists an equivalence between $(1,\lambda,D)$ and $(1,\sqrt{\lambda^2-2x}, i|D|)$ (observe that (7) expresses simply as $4|D|^2 \ge 0$ and holds trivially). On the other hand, if $\lambda^2 < 2x$, there exists an equivalence between $(1,\lambda,D)$ and $(1,0,E)$, where E is given in Proposition 3.7, if and only if $4y^2+\lambda^2(4x-\lambda^2) \ge 0$. This inequality holds due to (10).

To study further equivalences, it is clear that structures in family (I) are non-equivalent and the same for structures in family (II). Now let us consider two complex structures given by $(1,\lambda,iy)$ and $(1,0,E)$. Then, (7) expresses simply as

$$4y^2 \ge \lambda^4. \tag{11}$$

Condition for family (I) implies that $4y^2 < (1-\lambda^2)^2$, which is equivalent to $4y^2 - \lambda^2 < 1-2\lambda^2$, so if $2\lambda^2 \ge 1$, then (11) does not hold. Now, if $0 < \lambda^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, condition for family (I) is equivalent to $y < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}$ and therefore, if $2y \in [\lambda^2, 1-\lambda^2)$ it is possible to find an equivalence between families (I) and (II) and the explicit expression for E is $E = \frac{-\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{4y^2-\lambda^4}}{2}\,i$. □

Theorem 3.11. *Any non-abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ is equivalent to one and only one structure in the following families:*

(I) $d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0 \qquad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+\lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}}+iy\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, *where*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \le 2y < \lambda^2, & 0 < \lambda^2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 \le 2y < |1-\lambda^2|, & \frac{1}{2} \le \lambda^2. \end{cases}$$

(II) $d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0 \qquad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}+\omega^{1\bar{1}}+D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, *where* $4y^2 < 1+4x$.

It remains to study the case of 2-step NLAs $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 6 with first Betti number equal to 3, i.e., $\epsilon = 1$ in (2).

Proposition 3.12. *Let J be a nilpotent complex structure on an NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by (2) with $\epsilon = 1$, i.e.*

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \rho\,\omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + C\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$$

with $\rho = 0,1$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\rho,B,C) \neq (0,0,0)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent if and only if $B = \rho = 1$ and $C = 0$. In such case $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_7$ and all the complex structures are equivalent.

Proof. Let Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 be the dual basis of $\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3$. It is clear that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ has dimension at least 2 and is contained in $\langle i(Z_2 - \bar{Z}_2), \mathfrak{Re}\, Z_3, \mathfrak{Im}\, Z_3\rangle$. Since $\mathfrak{Re}\, Z_3, \mathfrak{Im}\, Z_3$ are central elements and

$$[i(Z_2 - \bar{Z}_2), Z_1] = (\rho - B) i\, Z_3 + \bar{C} i\, \bar{Z}_3,$$

we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent if and only if $B = \rho$ and C vanishes.

Let $(\rho, B, C) = (1, 1, 0)$ and let us consider a basis $\{e^1, \ldots, e^6\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $\omega^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^2 + ie^1)$, $\omega^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^3 + ie^4$ and $\omega^3 = e^6 + ie^5$. Now, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_7$. □

4. NON-ABELIAN NILPOTENT COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON 6-DIMENSIONAL 3-STEP NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section we classify, up to equivalence, nilpotent complex structures on 3-step NLAs $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 6. In this case the coefficient $\epsilon = 1$ in the equations (2) given in Proposition 2.2. The equivalence of complex structures in terms of the triple (ρ, B, C) is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a NLA endowed with a nilpotent complex structure (2) with $\epsilon = 1$ and $(\rho, B, C) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. Then:*

(*a*) *If the complex structure is abelian, then there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying either*

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{2\bar{1}}, \tag{12}$$

or

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}}, \tag{13}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 0$. The complex structures J and J' corresponding to c and c' are not equivalent when $c \neq c'$. Moreover, the complex structure (12) *is not equivalent to any of the structures given in* (13)*.*

(*b*) *In the non-abelian case there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying*

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}}, \tag{14}$$

where $B \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c \geq 0$. Moreover, the complex structures J and J' corresponding to $(1, B, c)$ and $(1, B', c')$ are equivalent if and only if $B = B'$ and $c = c'$.

Proof. If the complex structure is abelian then the pair $(B, C) \neq (0, 0)$ since $\rho = 0$. If $B = 0$ then it is clear that one arrives to equation (12). Now, let us suppose that $B \neq 0$. With respect to the basis $\{z\,\omega^1, |z|^2\,\omega^2, \frac{z|z|^2}{B}\,\omega^3\}$, where z is any non-zero solution of $\frac{|C|}{|B|}\,\bar{z} = \frac{C}{B}\,z$, the equations (2) reduce to the form (13).

Next we show that the complex structures J and J' corresponding to c and c' are not equivalent if $c \neq c'$. Let $\{\omega'^j\}_{j=1}^3$ be a (1,0)-basis for J' satisfying (13) for c'. If J and J' are equivalent then there is $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\omega'^i = m_{i1}\,\omega^1 + m_{i2}\,\omega^2 + m_{i3}\,\omega^3$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that the conditions $d\omega'^i = m_{i1}\,d\omega^1 + m_{i2}\,d\omega^2 + m_{i3}\,d\omega^3$ are equivalent to

$$m_{12} = m_{13} = m_{23} = 0, \quad m_{22} = |m_{11}|^2 > 0, \quad m_{33} = m_{11}|m_{11}|^2,$$

and

$$m_{11}\,c = \bar{m}_{11}\,c', \quad m_{32} = m_{11}\,\bar{m}_{21} + \bar{m}_{11}\,m_{21}\,c'.$$

Therefore, the complex structures are equivalent if and only if there is a non-zero solution m_{11} of the equation $m_{11}\,c = \bar{m}_{11}\,c'$, which is equivalent to $c = c'$.

For the proof of (b), we first observe that with respect to the basis $\{z\,\omega^1, |z|^2\,\omega^2, z|z|^2\,\omega^3\}$, where $z \neq 0$ satisfies $\bar{z}\,|C| = z\,C$, the equations (2) reduce to the form (14). Next we show that the complex structures J and J' corresponding to $(1, B, c)$ and $(1, B', c')$ are equivalent if and only if $B = B'$ and $c = c'$. Let $\{\omega'^j\}_{j=1}^3$ be a (1,0)-basis for J' satisfying

$$d\omega'^1 = 0, \quad d\omega'^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega'^3 = \omega'^{12} + B'\,\omega'^{1\bar{2}} + c'\,\omega'^{2\bar{1}}. \tag{15}$$

As above, if J and J' are equivalent then there is $A = (m_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\omega'^i = m_{i1}\,\omega^1 + m_{i2}\,\omega^2 + m_{i3}\,\omega^3$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Applying d to both sides we get

$$m_{12} = m_{13} = m_{23} = 0, \quad m_{22} = |m_{11}|^2 > 0, \quad m_{33} = m_{11}|m_{11}|^2$$

and

$$m_{33}B = m_{11}|m_{11}|^2 B', \quad m_{33}c = \bar{m}_{11}|m_{11}|^2 c', \quad m_{32} = m_{11}\bar{m}_{21}B' + \bar{m}_{11}m_{21}c'.$$

Therefore, the complex structures are equivalent if and only if $B = B'$ and there is a non-zero solution m_{11} of the equation $m_{11}c = \bar{m}_{11}c'$, that is, $c = c'$. $\square$

The following result provides a classification of abelian complex structures in the 3-step nilpotent case in a slightly more straightforward way than the given in [2].

Corollary 4.2. *Let J be an abelian complex structure on an NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by* (12) *or* (13). *Then, $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, except for $c = 1$ in which case $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_9$.*

Proof. For the equations (13), let us consider a basis $\{e^1, \ldots, e^6\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $\omega^1 = -e^1 + i\,e^2$, $\omega^2 = 2e^3 + 2i\,e^4$ and $\omega^3 = 2e^5 + 2(c+1)i\,e^6$. Then, e^1, e^2, e^3 are closed, $de^4 = e^{12}$, $de^5 = (c-1)(e^{13} + e^{42})$ and $de^6 = e^{14} + e^{23}$. Thus, if $c \neq 1$ then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$; otherwise, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_9$. Finally, it is easy to check that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ underlying (12) is also isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$. $\square$

Notice that the family (14) includes the case $\mathfrak{h}_7$ precisely for $\rho = B = 1$ and $c = 0$ as it is shown in Proposition 3.12. Next we determine the Lie algebras underlying the complex equations (14) in the remaining cases. Notice that all have first Betti number equal to 3 and they are nilpotent in step $s = 3$. Also notice that the dimension of the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is at least 2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let J be a nilpotent complex structure on a 3-step NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by (14). Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has 3-dimensional center if and only if $|B| = 1$, $B \neq 1$ and $c = 0$. In such case $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$.*

Proof. Let Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 be the dual basis of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$. Then, $\mathfrak{Re}\,(Z_3)$ and $\mathfrak{Im}\,(Z_3)$ are central elements. Let $T = \lambda_1 Z_1 + \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{Z}_1 + \lambda_2 Z_2 + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{Z}_2$ be another non-zero element in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$. It follows from (14) that

$$0 = [T, Z_1] = \bar{\lambda}_1 Z_2 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{Z}_2 - (\lambda_2 - B\bar{\lambda}_2) Z_3 - c\bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{Z}_3,$$

which implies $\lambda_1 = 0$, $c\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = B\bar{\lambda}_2$. Therefore, $c = 0$ and $|B| = 1$ in order the center to be 3-dimensional, because otherwise the equation $\lambda_2 = B\bar{\lambda}_2$ would have trivial solution. Moreover, since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent in step 3 then $B \neq 1$.

Finally, since $|B| = 1$ and $B \neq 1$, let us consider the basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by: $e^1 + i\,e^2 = i(B-1)\omega^1$, $e^3 = \omega^2 + \omega^{\bar{2}}$, $e^4 = \frac{1-\mathfrak{Re}\,B}{1-B} i(\omega^2 + B\,\omega^{\bar{2}})$, $e^5 + i\,e^6 = (1 - \mathfrak{Re}\,B)\omega^3$. Then, we can write the differential of ω^3 in the form

$$d\omega^3 = \omega^1 \wedge (\omega^2 + B\,\omega^{\bar{2}}) = \left(\frac{i(B-1)}{1-\mathfrak{Re}\,B}\omega^1\right) \wedge \left(\frac{1-\mathfrak{Re}\,B}{1-B} i(\omega^2 + B\,\omega^{\bar{2}})\right).$$

which implies that e^1, e^2, e^3 are closed, $de^4 = e^{12}$, $de^5 = e^{14}$ and $de^6 = e^{24}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{16}$. $\square$

Next we establish the conditions for the coefficients B and c depending on the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}^2 = [\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]]$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let J be a complex structure on a 3-step NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by (14). Then:*

(i) *If $c = |B-1| \neq 0$, then* $\dim \mathfrak{g}^2 = 1$.
(ii) *If $c \neq |B-1|$, then* $\dim \mathfrak{g}^2 = 2$.

Proof. From (14) we have that

$$\mathfrak{g}^2 = [Z_2 - \bar{Z}_2, \mathfrak{g}] = \langle (1-B)Z_3 + c\,\bar{Z}_3,\ c\,Z_3 + (1-\bar{B})\bar{Z}_3 \rangle.$$

It is clear that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^2 = 2$ if and only if $(1-B)(1-\bar{B}) - c^2 \neq 0$. $\square$

Notice that if $c = |B-1| \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$. Since the case $c = 0 \neq |B-1|$, $|B| = 1$ corresponds to $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{16}$ by Proposition 4.3, we conclude that for $c \neq |B-1|$ and $(c, |B|) \neq (0,1)$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$.

In order to distinguish the underlying Lie algebras, we use the following argument for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_k$, $10 \leq k \leq 15$. Let $\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ be the number of linearly independent elements τ in $\bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\tau \in d(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\tau \wedge \tau = 0$. This number can be identified with the number of linearly independent exact 2-forms which are decomposable, that is, $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_k) = 3$ for $k = 10, 12, 13$, $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_k) = 2$ for $k = 11, 14$ and $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_k) = 1$ for $k = 15$.

If τ is any exact element in $\bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ then $\tau = \mu\,d\omega^2 + \bar{\mu}\,d\omega^{\bar{2}} + \nu\,d\omega^3 + \bar{\nu}\,d\omega^{\bar{3}}$, for some $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$, and by (14) we have

$$\tau = (\mu - \bar{\mu})\,\omega^{1\bar{1}} + \nu\,\omega^{12} + (\nu B - \bar{\nu} c)\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + (\nu c - \bar{\nu}\bar{B})\,\omega^{2\bar{1}} + \bar{\nu}\,\omega^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\tau \wedge \tau = 2\left(|\nu|^2(1 - |B|^2 - c^2) + c\left(\nu^2 B + \bar{\nu}^2 \bar{B}\right)\right)\omega^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}.$$

Thus, if we denote $p = \mathfrak{Re}\ \nu$ and $q = \mathfrak{Im}\ \nu$, then $\tau \wedge \tau = 0$ if and only if

$$\left(1 - |B|^2 - c^2 + 2c\,\mathfrak{Re}\ B\right)p^2 - (4c\,\mathfrak{Im}\ B)\,pq + \left(1 - |B|^2 - c^2 - 2c\,\mathfrak{Re}\ B\right)q^2 = 0. \tag{16}$$

Observe that the trivial solution $p = q = 0$ corresponds to $\tau = 2i\,\mathfrak{Im}\ \mu\,\omega^{1\bar{1}}$, according to the fact that $\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let J be a complex structure on a 3-step NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by* (14) *with $c = |B-1| \neq 0$. Then:*

(i) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{10}$ *if and only if $B = 0$, in particular, any two complex structures on* $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$ *are equivalent;*
(ii) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{11}$ *if and only if $B \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$;*
(iii) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{12}$ *if and only if $\mathfrak{Im}\,B \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $c = |B-1| \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$.

Firstly, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{10}$ if and only if the coefficients in equation (16) vanish. In fact, for $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$ we have by Theorem 2.1 that $\nu\, d\omega^3 + \bar\nu\, d\omega^{\bar 3} \in \langle e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}\rangle$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ so any pair $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ solves the equation (16), which implies the vanishing of its coefficients. Conversely, if the coefficients $1 - |B|^2 - c^2 + 2c\,\mathfrak{Re}\, B$, $c\,\mathfrak{Im}\, B$ and $1 - |B|^2 - c^2 - 2c\,\mathfrak{Re}\, B$ are all zero then neccesarily $B = 0$ and $c = 1$, that is, $d\omega^1 = 0$, $d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar 1}$ and $d\omega^3 = (\omega^1 - \omega^{\bar 1}) \wedge \omega^2$, and therefore the Lie algebra is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$.

On the other hand, notice that if $c = |B-1| \neq 0$ and $(B,c) \neq (0,1)$ then (16) is a second degree equation in p or q. Since its discriminant is a positive multiple of $(\mathfrak{Im}\, B)^2$, if $\mathfrak{Im}\, B \neq 0$ then we get two independent solutions and $\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$, that is, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{12}$. Finally, for $\mathfrak{Im}\, B = 0$ the equation (16) provides one solution and $\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) = 2$, so $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{11}$. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let J be a complex structure on a 3-step NLA $\mathfrak{g}$ given by (14) with $c \neq |B-1|$ such that $(c, |B|) \neq (0,1)$. Then:*

(i) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{13}$ *if and only if* $c^4 - 2(|B|^2+1)c^2 + (|B|^2-1)^2 < 0$*;*
(ii) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{14}$ *if and only if* $c^4 - 2(|B|^2+1)c^2 + (|B|^2-1)^2 = 0$*;*
(iii) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{15}$ *if and only if* $c^4 - 2(|B|^2+1)c^2 + (|B|^2-1)^2 > 0$.

Proof. Since $c \neq |B-1|$ and $(c,|B|) \neq (0,1)$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.3 that $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$.

Notice that the condition $(c,|B|) \neq (0,1)$ implies that the coefficients of p^2 and q^2 in equation (16) cannot be both zero, so (16) is always a second degree equation. Let

$$\Delta = c^4 - 2(|B|^2+1)c^2 + (|B|^2-1)^2.$$

Since the discriminant as a second degree equation in p is equal to $-4q^2\Delta$ and the discriminant as a second degree equation in q equals $-4p^2\Delta$, the number of independent solutions of equation (16) depends on the sign of Δ. Thus, for $\Delta < 0$ there exist two such solutions and thus $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{13}$, for $\Delta = 0$ there exists only one such solution and $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{14}$, and finally for $\Delta > 0$ there is no solution and $\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$, which implies that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{15}$. □

5. Classification of complex structures

As a consequence of our previous study, in this section we present in Table 1 the classification of nilpotent complex structures up to equivalence. In the table the closed (1,0)-form ω^1 does not appear, and the coefficients $c, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ and $B, D \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{Im}\, D \geq 0$.

In Table 1 we have also included the classification of abelian complex structures J on 6-dimensional NLAs obtained in [2]. In the 3-step case we use directly the equations given in Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, but in the 2-step case we have written the complex structure equations of any abelian J in a form that fits in our Proposition 3.1. More precisely, in the 2-step case we consider first the following reduction of the equations (3) of any abelian complex structure.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an NLA endowed with a nilpotent complex structure* (3) *of abelian type. Then there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying one of the following conditions:*

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = d\omega^3 = 0; \tag{17}$$

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}, \quad \textit{with } D \in \mathbb{C},\ |D| = 1,\ \mathfrak{Im}\, D \geq 0; \tag{18}$$

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}, \quad \textit{with } D \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathfrak{Im}\, D \geq 0. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Suppose $\rho = 0$ in (3). If in addition $\lambda = 0$, then in terms of the basis $\{\sqrt{|D|}\ \omega^1,\ |D|\,\omega^2,\ |D|\,\omega^3\}$ we obtain the structure $(0, 0, \frac{D}{|D|})$, whereas if $\lambda \neq 0$ then we get $(0, 1, \frac{D}{\lambda^2})$ with respect to $\{\omega^1,\ \lambda\,\omega^2,\ \omega^3\}$. □

Next we illustrate how to rewrite the complex structure equations of any abelian J on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_5$ in a form that fits in our Corollary 5.1. By [2, Theorem 3.5] there is, up to isomorphism, one family J_t, $t \in (0, 1]$, of abelian complex structures given by

$$J_t e^1 = e^3, \quad J_t e^2 = e^4, \quad J_t e^5 = \frac{1}{t}\, e^6.$$

With respect to the (1,0)-basis $\{\sigma^1 = e^1 - i\, e^3, \sigma^2 = e^2 - i\, e^4, \sigma^3 = -2i\, e^5 - \frac{2}{t}\, e^6\}$, the complex structure equations for J_t are

$$d\sigma^1 = d\sigma^2 = 0, \quad d\sigma^3 = \sigma^{1\bar{1}} - \frac{i}{t}\sigma^{1\bar{2}} - \frac{i}{t}\sigma^{2\bar{1}} - \sigma^{2\bar{2}}.$$

Now, by [29, Lemma 11] there exists a (1,0)-basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \qquad d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

with $D = \frac{1-t^2}{4}$. Notice that $D \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ because $t \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, any abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ is given, up to isomorphism, as in Table 1.

For completeness we include Table 2 with the classification of non-nilpotent complex structures on 6-dimensional NLAs based on the following result.

Proposition 5.2. [30] *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a six-dimensional NLA endowed with a non-nilpotent complex structure. Then, there is a $(1,0)$-basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 &= 0, \\ d\omega^2 &= \omega^{13} + \omega^{1\bar{3}}, \\ d\omega^3 &= i\epsilon\,\omega^{1\bar{1}} \pm i(\omega^{1\bar{2}} - \omega^{2\bar{1}}), \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon = 0, 1$. If $\epsilon = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{19}^- = (0, 0, 0, 12, 23, 14 - 35)$, and if $\epsilon = 1$ then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{26}^+ = (0, 0, 12, 13, 23, 14 + 25)$. Moreover, these complex structures are not equivalent.

Table 1: Classification of nilpotent complex structures

$\mathfrak{g}$	Abelian structures ($\rho = 0$)	Non-abelian Nilpotent structures ($\rho = 1$)
$\mathfrak{h}_1$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = 0$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_2$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$ $\mathfrak{Im}\,D = 1$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$ $\mathfrak{Im}\,D > 0$
$\mathfrak{h}_3$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} \pm \omega^{2\bar{2}}$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_4$	$d\omega^2 = 0,$ $d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{2\bar{2}}$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$ $D \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$
$\mathfrak{h}_5$	$d\omega^2 = 0,$ $d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$ $D \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}$
		$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$ with (λ, D) satisfying one of: • $\lambda = 0 \leq \mathfrak{Im}\,D,\ 4(\mathfrak{Im}\,D)^2 < 1 + 4\,\mathfrak{Re}\,D;$ • $0 < \lambda^2 < \frac{1}{2},\ 0 \leq \mathfrak{Im}\,D < \frac{\lambda^2}{2},\ \mathfrak{Re}\,D = 0;$ • $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda^2 < 1,\ 0 \leq \mathfrak{Im}\,D < \frac{1-\lambda^2}{2},\ \mathfrak{Re}\,D = 0;$ • $\lambda^2 > 1,\ 0 \leq \mathfrak{Im}\,D < \frac{\lambda^2-1}{2},\ \mathfrak{Re}\,D = 0.$
$\mathfrak{h}_6$	—	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}}$
$\mathfrak{h}_7$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{2}}$
$\mathfrak{h}_8$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_9$	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{2}} + \omega^{2\bar{1}}$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_{10}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{2\bar{1}}$
$\mathfrak{h}_{11}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + \lvert B-1\rvert\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $B \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$
$\mathfrak{h}_{12}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + \lvert B-1\rvert\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $\mathfrak{Im}\,B \neq 0$
$\mathfrak{h}_{13}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $c \neq \lvert B - 1\rvert, \quad (c, \lvert B\rvert) \neq (0, 1),$ $c^4 - 2(\lvert B\rvert^2 + 1)c^2 + (\lvert B\rvert^2 - 1)^2 < 0$
$\mathfrak{h}_{14}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $c \neq \lvert B - 1\rvert, \quad (c, \lvert B\rvert) \neq (0, 1),$ $c^4 - 2(\lvert B\rvert^2 + 1)c^2 + (\lvert B\rvert^2 - 1)^2 = 0$
$\mathfrak{h}_{15}$	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{2\bar{1}}$	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $c \neq \lvert B - 1\rvert, \quad (c, \lvert B\rvert) \neq (0, 1),$ $c^4 - 2(\lvert B\rvert^2 + 1)c^2 + (\lvert B\rvert^2 - 1)^2 > 0$
	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$ $c \neq 1$	
$\mathfrak{h}_{16}$	—	$d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}},\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}},$ $\lvert B\rvert = 1,\ B \neq 1$

$d\omega^1 = 0;\ \ \lambda, c \geq 0;\ \ B, D \in \mathbb{C}.$

Table 2: Classification of non-nilpotent complex structures

$\mathfrak{g}$	Complex structures
$\mathfrak{h}_{19}^{-}$	$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{13} + \omega^{1\bar{3}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \pm i(\omega^{1\bar{2}} - \omega^{2\bar{1}})$
$\mathfrak{h}_{26}^{+}$	$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{13} + \omega^{1\bar{3}}, \quad d\omega^3 = i\,\omega^{1\bar{1}} \pm i(\omega^{1\bar{2}} - \omega^{2\bar{1}})$

6. Frölicher spectral sequence

In this section we study the general behaviour of the Frölicher spectral sequence.

Given a complex n-dimensional manifold M, the Frölicher spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}(M)$ is the spectral sequence associated to the double complex $(\Omega^{p,q}(M), \partial, \bar{\partial})$, where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ come from the well-known decomposition $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ of the exterior differential d on M [17].

The first term $E_1(M)$ in the sequence is precisely the Dolbeault cohomology of M, that is, $E_1^{p,q}(M) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$, and after a finite number of steps this sequence converges to the de Rham cohomology of M.

More precisely, for each $r \geq 1$ there is a sequence of homomorphisms d_r

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E_r^{p-r,q+r-1}(M) \xrightarrow{d_r} E_r^{p,q}(M) \xrightarrow{d_r} E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}(M) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{20}$$

such that $d_r \circ d_r = 0$ and $E_{r+1}^{p,q}(M) = \operatorname{Ker} d_r / \operatorname{Im} d_r$. The homomorphisms d_r are induced from ∂. For $r = 1$, the explicit description of the homomorphisms d_1 is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p+1,q}(M) \\ [\alpha] & \mapsto & [\partial\alpha]. \end{array}$$

For $r = 2$, we recall that

$$E_2^{p,q}(M) = \frac{\{\alpha_{p,q} \in \Omega^{p,q}(M) \,|\, \bar{\partial}\alpha_{p,q} = 0,\ \partial\alpha_{p,q} = -\bar{\partial}\alpha_{p+1,q-1}\}}{\{\bar{\partial}\beta_{p,q-1} + \partial\gamma_{p-1,q} \,|\, \bar{\partial}\gamma_{p-1,q} = 0\}}.$$

Now, the homomorphisms d_2 are given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2^{p,q}(M) & \xrightarrow{d_2} & E_2^{p+2,q-1}(M) \\ [\alpha_{p,q}] & \mapsto & [\partial\alpha_{p+1,q-1}] \end{array}$$

(see for example [11] for general description of d_r and $E_r^{p,q}$).

We introduce the notation $E_r^{|k|}(M) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_r^{p,q}(M)$. Since $E_\infty^{|k|}(M) \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(M, \mathbb{C})$, it is clear that $\dim E_r^{|k|}(M) \geq b_k(M) = \dim H_{dR}^k(M)$ for all k, and the equality holds if and only if $E_r(M) \cong E_\infty(M)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let us consider $\mathfrak{g}$ with a complex structure J in the family*

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \rho\,\omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

with $\rho = 0, 1$ and $\lambda, \mathfrak{Im}\, D \geq 0$. Then:

- (i) *If $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$, $\mathfrak{h}_6$ or $\mathfrak{h}_8$, then the Frölicher sequence degenerates at the first step for any J.*
- (ii) *If $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$, then $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ if and only if J is not abelian. Moreover, any abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$ satisfies $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*
- (iii) *A complex structure J on $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_5$ satisfies $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ if and only if $\rho D \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\rho = 0$ or $D = 0$ then $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*

Proof. For the study of the degeneration of the Frölicher sequence at the first step, it is sufficient to study the Dolbeault cohomology in relation to the (de Rham) cohomology of the Lie algebra. Moreover, using the Serre duality in Dolbeault cohomology for Lie algebras proved in [25] it suffices to study the spaces $H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}$ for $(p,q) = (1,0), (0,1), (2,0), (1,1), (0,2), (3,0)$ and $(2,1)$.

The Dolbeault groups $H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}$ for $(p,q) = (1,0), (2,0), (3,0), (0,1)$ and $(0,2)$ of any complex structure in the family are:

$$H^{1,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^1], [\omega^2] \rangle, \qquad H^{2,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{12}], \delta^D_0 [\omega^{13}] \rangle, \qquad H^{3,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{123}] \rangle,$$

$$H^{0,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{\bar1}], [\omega^{\bar2}], (1-\rho)[\omega^{\bar3}] \rangle, \qquad H^{0,2}_{\bar\partial} = \langle (1-\rho)[\omega^{\bar1\bar2}], [\omega^{\bar1\bar3}], [\omega^{\bar2\bar3}] \rangle.$$

Here δ^D_0 is equal to 0 if $D \neq 0$, and equals 1 if $D = 0$.

For $\rho = 0$, the Dolbeault groups $H^{1,1}_{\bar\partial}$ and $H^{2,1}_{\bar\partial}$ for any complex structure in the family are:

$$H^{1,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{1\bar2}], [\omega^{2\bar1}], [\omega^{2\bar2}], [\omega^{1\bar3}], [\omega^{2\bar3}], \delta^D_0 [\omega^{3\bar1} + \lambda \omega^{3\bar2}] \rangle,$$

$$H^{2,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle \delta^D_0 [\omega^{12\bar2}], [\omega^{12\bar3}], [\omega^{13\bar1} - D\omega^{23\bar2}], [\omega^{23\bar1} + \lambda\omega^{23\bar2}], [\omega^{13\bar2}], \delta^D_0 [\omega^{13\bar3}] \rangle,$$

whereas for $\rho = 1$ these Dolbeault cohomology groups are:

$$H^{1,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{1\bar2}], [\omega^{2\bar1}], [\omega^{2\bar2}], [\omega^{1\bar3} + \omega^{3\bar2}], [D\omega^{2\bar3} - \omega^{3\bar1} - \lambda\omega^{3\bar2}] \rangle,$$

$$H^{2,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle \delta^D_0 [\omega^{12\bar2}], [D\omega^{12\bar3} - \omega^{13\bar1}], [\lambda\omega^{12\bar3} + \omega^{23\bar1}], [\omega^{12\bar3} - \omega^{23\bar2}], [\omega^{13\bar2}] \rangle.$$

Now, if $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ then from Table 1 we have that $\rho = 0$ and $D = \pm 1$, and counting the dimension of the Dolbeault groups we get

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 5 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_3), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_3), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 10 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_3).$$

For $\mathfrak{h}_8$ we have $\rho = 0 = D$ and

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 5 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_8), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 11 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_8), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 14 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_8).$$

Therefore, in both cases $E_1 \cong E_\infty$.

It follows from Table 1 that $\mathfrak{h}_6$ corresponds to $\rho = 1 = \lambda$ and $D = 0$, and counting the dimension of the Dolbeault groups we have

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_6), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_6), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 12 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_6).$$

Therefore, the Frölicher sequence also degenerates at the first step for any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_6$, and the proof of (i) is complete.

In order to prove (ii), first we notice that if J is non-abelian on $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$ then from Table 1 the coefficient $D \neq 0$, and counting the dimension of the Dolbeault groups above we get

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 10 = b_3(\mathfrak{g});$$

therefore, $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ for any non-abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$.

Let us suppose now that J is abelian on $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$. Since from Table 1 the coefficient $D \neq 0$ again, counting dimensions we get that $E_1 \ncong E_\infty$. More precisely,

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 5 > 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9 > 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 10 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}),$$
$$\dim E_1^{|4|} = 9 > 8 = b_4(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|5|} = 5 > 4 = b_5(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Next we show that the map $E_1^{0,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,1}$ is non-zero. For the class $[\omega^{\bar3}] \in E_1^{0,1}$, we have

$$d_1([\omega^{\bar3}]) = [\partial\omega^{\bar3}] = [\omega^{1\bar1} + \lambda\,\omega^{2\bar1} + \bar{D}\,\omega^{2\bar2}].$$

Since $\bar\partial(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) = \langle \bar\partial\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar1} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar2} + D\,\omega^{2\bar2}\rangle$, we conclude that $d_1([\omega^{\bar3}]) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = 0$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}$, but this corresponds to $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$. Therefore, $\dim E_2^{0,1} = \dim(\operatorname{Ker} d_1) \leq \dim E_1^{0,1} - 1$ and so $\dim E_2^{|1|} \leq \dim E_1^{|1|} - 1 = 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{g})$, which implies $E_2^{|1|} \cong H^1(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, $\dim E_2^{1,1} \leq \dim E_1^{1,1} - \dim(\operatorname{Im} d_1)$ and so $E_2^{|2|} \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{C})$ because $\dim E_2^{|2|} \leq \dim E_1^{|2|} - 1 = 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

A similar argument can be applied to prove that the map $E_1^{2,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,2}$ is also non-zero. In fact,

$$d_1([\omega^{13\bar1\bar3} - \lambda\,\omega^{13\bar2\bar3} - D\,\omega^{23\bar2\bar3}]) = (\lambda^2 - D + \bar D)[\omega^{123\bar1\bar2}]$$

is zero if and only if $\lambda = 0$ and D is a non-zero real number, but this corresponds to $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$. Arguing as above allows us to conclude that $E_2^{|k|} \cong H^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{C})$ also for $k = 4, 5$, which completes the proof of (ii).

Now suppose that J is a complex structure on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_5$. If J is non-abelian and $D \neq 0$ then

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_5), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_5), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 10 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_5),$$

that is, $E_1 \cong E_\infty$.

It remains to study the three following cases: $\rho = 0 \neq D$, $\rho = 0 = D$ and $\rho = 1$, $D = 0$. Any complex structure in the first case satisfies

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 5, \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9, \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 10, \quad \dim E_1^{|4|} = 9, \quad \dim E_1^{|5|} = 5,$$

and since the maps $E_1^{0,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,1}$ and $E_1^{2,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,2}$ are non-zero, counting dimensions we get that necessarily $E_2^{|k|} \cong H^k(\mathfrak{h}_5,\mathbb{C})$ for all k.

For the case $\rho = 0 = D$ we have

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 5, \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 11, \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 14, \quad \dim E_1^{|4|} = 11, \quad \dim E_1^{|5|} = 5.$$

We consider the following non-zero maps d_1, where we specify one cohomology class and its corresponding non-zero image in each case:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
E_1^{0,1} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & E_1^{1,1} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & E_1^{2,1} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & E_1^{3,1} \\
[\omega^{\bar3}] & \mapsto & [\omega^{2\bar1}] - [\omega^{1\bar2}] & & & & \\
& & [\omega^{1\bar3}] & \mapsto & -[\omega^{12\bar2}] & & \\
& & & & [\omega^{13\bar3}] & \mapsto & [\omega^{123\bar1}]
\end{array}$$

Similarly, the following homomorphisms

$$E_1^{0,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,2}$$

are non-zero (take for instance the classes $[\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar1\bar3} + \omega^{3\bar2\bar3}]$ and $[\omega^{23\bar1\bar3} + \omega^{23\bar2\bar3}]$). Since $E_2^{p,q} \cong \operatorname{Ker} d_1/\operatorname{Im} d_1$, counting the dimensions we get

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\dim E_2^{|1|} \leq \dim E_1^{|1|} - 1 = 4 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_5), & \dim E_2^{|2|} \leq \dim E_1^{|2|} - 3 = 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_5), \\
\dim E_2^{|3|} \leq \dim E_1^{|3|} - 4 = 10 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_5), & \dim E_2^{|4|} \leq \dim E_1^{|4|} - 3 = 8 = b_4(\mathfrak{h}_5), \\
\dim E_2^{|5|} \leq \dim E_1^{|5|} - 1 = 4 = b_5(\mathfrak{h}_5). &
\end{array}$$

This implies that $E_2 \cong E_\infty$ because $\dim E_2^{|k|} = b_k(\mathfrak{h}_5)$ for all k.

Finally, we suppose that $\rho = 1$ and $D = 0$. In this case

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4, \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9, \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 12, \quad \dim E_1^{|4|} = 9, \quad \dim E_1^{|5|} = 4.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$E_1^{1,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,1}, \qquad E_1^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,2}$$

are non-zero (for instance, $d_1([\omega^{1\bar{3}} + \omega^{3\bar{2}}]) \neq 0$ and $d_1([\omega^{1\bar{1}\bar{3}} + \lambda\,\omega^{3\bar{2}\bar{3}}]) \neq 0$), which implies that $E_2 \cong E_\infty$. □

Proposition 6.2. *Let us consider* $\mathfrak{g}$ *with a complex structure* J *in the family*

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \quad d\omega^3 = \rho\,\omega^{12} + B\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + c\,\omega^{2\bar{1}},$$

where $\rho = 0, 1$ *and* $B \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \geq 0$ *with* $(\rho, B, c) \neq (0,0,0)$. *Then:*

- (i) *If* $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_7$, $\mathfrak{h}_9$, $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ *or* $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$, *then the Frölicher sequence degenerates at the first step for any* J.
- (ii) *Any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ *satisfies* $E_1 \not\cong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.
- (iii) *Any complex structure on* $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$ *or* $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ *satisfies* $E_1 \cong E_2 \not\cong E_3 \cong E_\infty$.
- (iv) *On* $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$ *we have:*
  - (iv.1) $E_1 \not\cong E_2 \cong E_\infty$, *if* $c = 0$ *and* $B \neq \rho$;
  - (iv.2) $E_1 \cong E_2 \not\cong E_3 \cong E_\infty$, *if* $\rho = 1$ *and* $|B-1| \neq c \neq 0$;
  - (iv.3) $E_1 \not\cong E_2 \not\cong E_3 \cong E_\infty$, *if* $\rho = 0$ *and* $|B| \neq c \neq 0$.

Proof. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, it suffices to study the spaces $H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}$ for $(p,q) = (1,0), (0,1), (2,0), (1,1), (0,2), (3,0)$ and $(2,1)$.

For $\rho = 1$, these Dolbeault groups for any complex structure in the family are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^{1,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^1], \delta_0^B \delta_0^c [\omega^3] \rangle, \qquad H^{2,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{12}], \delta_0^c [\omega^{13}] \rangle, \qquad H^{3,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{123}] \rangle,\\
&H^{0,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{\bar{1}}], [\omega^{\bar{2}}] \rangle, \qquad H^{0,2}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{\bar{1}\bar{3}}], [\omega^{\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \rangle,\\
&H^{1,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle (Bc + \delta_0^B)[\omega^{1\bar{2}}], [\omega^{1\bar{3}} + \omega^{2\bar{2}}], [B\omega^{1\bar{3}} - \omega^{3\bar{1}}], \delta_0^c[\omega^{2\bar{1}}], \delta_0^c[\omega^{3\bar{2}}] \rangle,\\
&H^{2,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle \delta_0^c[\omega^{12\bar{1}}], [\omega^{12\bar{2}}], [c\,\omega^{12\bar{3}} + \omega^{13\bar{2}}], [B\omega^{12\bar{3}} + \omega^{23\bar{1}}], \delta_0^c[\omega^{13\bar{3}} + \omega^{23\bar{2}}] \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here δ_0^B is equal to 0 if $B \neq 0$, and equals 1 if $B = 0$. Similarly for δ_0^c. Notice that the coefficient $Bc + \delta_0^B$ is non-zero except for $B \neq 0$ and $c = 0$.

A first consequence is that $\dim E_1^{|2|} \geq 6$ in any case, which implies that $E_1 \not\cong E_\infty$ for any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$, as well as for any non-abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, because these Lie algebras have $b_2 = 5$.

Now from Table 1 we study case by case. For $\mathfrak{h}_7$ we have $(B,c) = (1,0)$, which implies

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_7), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 8 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_7), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 12 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_7).$$

For $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$ we have $(B,c) = (0,1)$, which implies

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{10}), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 6 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{10}), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 8 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{10}).$$

For $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{11}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$ we have that $B \neq 0$ and $c = |B-1| \neq 0$ because $B \neq 1$ in both cases. Thus,

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 6 = b_2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 8 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Therefore, $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ for any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_7$, $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$.

Finally, it remains to study the abelian case $\rho = 0$, whose corresponding Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{h}_9$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$. Since $(B, c) \neq (0,0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &H^{1,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^1] \rangle, \qquad H^{2,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{12}], \delta^c_0[\omega^{13}] \rangle, \qquad H^{3,0}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{123}] \rangle, \\ &H^{0,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{\bar1}], [\omega^{\bar2}], [\omega^{\bar3}] \rangle, \qquad H^{0,2}_{\bar\partial} = \langle [\omega^{\bar1\bar2}], [\omega^{\bar1\bar3}], [\omega^{\bar2\bar3}] \rangle, \\ &H^{1,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle (1-\delta^c_0)[\omega^{1\bar2}], [\omega^{1\bar3}], \delta^c_0[\omega^{2\bar1}], [B\omega^{2\bar2} + \omega^{3\bar1}], \delta^c_0[\omega^{3\bar2}] \rangle, \\ &H^{2,1}_{\bar\partial} = \langle \delta^c_0[\omega^{12\bar1}], [\omega^{12\bar2}], [\omega^{12\bar3}], [B\omega^{13\bar2} - c\omega^{23\bar1}], \delta^c_0[\omega^{13\bar3}] \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We get that $\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 > 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$ and therefore $E_1 \ncong E_\infty$ for any abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$. Now from Table 1 we get $\mathfrak{h}_9$ for $B = c = 1$ and it is easy to see that in this case $\dim E_1^{|k|} = b_k(\mathfrak{h}_9)$ for any k, and so $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ for any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_9$. This concludes the proof of (i).

For case (ii), from Table 1, $c = 0$, $\rho = 1$, $|B| = 1$ and $B \neq 1$. From (21) the dimensions of $E_1^{|k|}$ for any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ are

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{16}), \quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 8 > 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{16}), \quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 12 > 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{16}).$$

For the following homomorphisms

$$E_1^{1,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,1}, \qquad E_1^{0,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,2},$$

the classes $[\omega^{1\bar3} + \omega^{2\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{13\bar3} + \omega^{23\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]$, $[B\omega^{2\bar2\bar3} + \omega^{3\bar1\bar3}]$ and $[\omega^{3\bar2\bar3}]$ have linearly independent images. Therefore, counting the dimension of $E_2^{|k|}$ we get

$$\dim E_2^{|2|} \leq \dim E_1^{|2|} - 3 = 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{16}), \qquad \dim E_2^{|3|} \leq \dim E_1^{|3|} - 6 = 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{16}),$$
$$\dim E_2^{|4|} \leq \dim E_1^{|4|} - 3 = 5 = b_4(\mathfrak{h}_{16}).$$

This implies that $E_2 \cong E_\infty$ because $\dim E_2^{|k|} = b_k(\mathfrak{h}_{16})$ for all k.

For cases (iii) and (iv.2), $\rho = 1$ and $|B-1| \neq c \neq 0$. As $\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{g})$, being $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, we get that $E_1^{|1|} \cong E_\infty^{|1|}$. We consider the following non-zero d_2 map:

$$\begin{array}{ccl} E_2^{0,2} & \xrightarrow{d_2} & E_2^{2,1} \\ [\omega^{\bar2\bar3}] & \mapsto & \left[\partial\left(\omega^{2\bar3} + \frac{1-\bar B}{c}\,\omega^{3\bar2}\right)\right] = \frac{|B-1|^2 - c^2}{c}\,[\omega^{12\bar2}]. \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that $[\omega^{12\bar2}]$ defines a non-zero class in $E_2^{2,1}$, because $\omega^{12\bar2} \neq \bar\partial\beta_{2,0} + \partial\gamma_{1,1}$ for any $\beta_{2,0}$ and any $\bar\partial$-closed $\gamma_{1,1}$. Hence,

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \dim E_3^{|2|} \leq \dim E_2^{|2|} - 1 \leq \dim E_1^{|2|} - 1 = 6 - 1 = 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$$

and we conclude that $E_\infty^{|2|} \cong E_3^{|2|} \ncong E_2^{|2|} \cong E_1^{|2|}$.

Similarly, $d_2\colon E_2^{1,2} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,1}$ is non-zero (for instance, take $[\omega^{3\bar1\bar3} + B\omega^{2\bar2\bar3}]$). Thus,

$$b_3(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \dim E_3^{|3|} \leq \dim E_2^{|3|} - 2 \leq \dim E_1^{|3|} - 2 = 8 - 2 = 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$$

and we conclude that $E_\infty^{|3|} \cong E_3^{|3|} \ncong E_2^{|3|} \cong E_1^{|3|}$. By the same argument

$$b_4(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \dim E_3^{|4|} \leq \dim E_2^{|4|} - 1 \leq \dim E_1^{|4|} - 1 = 6 - 1 = 5 = b_4(\mathfrak{g})$$

and therefore $E_\infty^{|4|} \cong E_3^{|4|} \ncong E_2^{|4|} \cong E_1^{|4|}$. Summing up all the information, we conclude $E_1 \cong E_2 \ncong E_3 \cong E_\infty$.

In order to prove (iv.1) we need to study independently the abelian and the non-abelian complex structures with $c = 0$ and $B \neq \rho$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$. We start with the abelian ones. In this case, by Table 1 we can suppose $B = 1$ and from (22) it follows that the dimensions of $E_1^{|k|}$ are

$$\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 > 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),\ \ \dim E_1^{|2|} = 9 > 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),\ \ \dim E_1^{|3|} = 12 > 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{15}).$$

For the following d_1-homomorphisms

$$E_1^{0,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,1}, \qquad E_1^{0,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,2},$$

the classes $[\omega^{\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{1\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{13\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar2\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{2\bar2\bar3} + \omega^{3\bar1\bar3}]$ and $[\omega^{13\bar2\bar3}]$ have linearly independent images. Counting dimensions for $E_2^{|k|}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim E_2^{|1|} \le \dim E_1^{|1|} - 1 = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15}), \quad && \dim E_2^{|2|} \le \dim E_1^{|2|} - 4 = 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),\\ &\dim E_2^{|3|} \le \dim E_1^{|3|} - 6 = 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{15}), \quad && \dim E_2^{|4|} \le \dim E_1^{|4|} - 4 = 5 = b_4(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),\\ &\dim E_2^{|5|} \le \dim E_1^{|5|} - 1 = 3 = b_5(\mathfrak{h}_{15}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $E_2 \cong E_\infty$ because necessarily $\dim E_2^{|k|} = b_k(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$ for all k.

If $\rho = 1$ and $c = 0$, then $B \neq 1$ and $\dim E_1^{|1|} = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15}) + \delta_0^B$. So $E_1^{|1|} \cong E_\infty^{|1|}$ when $B \neq 0$. For $B = 0$, since $d_1([\omega^3]) \neq 0$ and $d_1([\omega^{3\bar1\bar2\bar3}]) \neq 0$, we conclude that $\dim E_2^{|1|} \le \dim E_1^{|1|} - 1 = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$ and $\dim E_2^{|5|} \le \dim E_1^{|5|} - 1 = 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$, and therefore, $E_2^{|k|} \cong E_\infty^{|k|}$ if $k = 1$ or $k = 5$.

Now, for $B \neq 1$ we have that $\dim E_1^{|2|} = 8 + \delta_0^B > 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$, $\dim E_1^{|3|} = 12 > 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$, $\dim E_1^{|4|} = 8 + \delta_0^B > 5 = b_4(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$. In order to conclude that $E_2 \cong E_\infty$ it suffices to observe that for the following homomorphisms

$$E_1^{1,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,1}, \qquad E_1^{0,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,2}$$

the classes $[\omega^{1\bar3} + \omega^{2\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{13\bar3} + \omega^{23\bar2}]$, $[\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]$, $[\omega^{3\bar2\bar3}]$ and $[B\omega^{2\bar2\bar3} + \omega^{3\bar1\bar3}]$ have linearly independent images.

For the last case (iv.3), we first observe that $\dim E_1^{|1|} = 4 > 3 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{15})$, but $d_1([\omega^{\bar3}]) = -c[\omega^{1\bar2}] - \bar B[\omega^{2\bar1}]$. Since this class is zero if and only if $c\,\omega^{1\bar2} + \bar B\omega^{2\bar1} \in \bar\partial(\Lambda^{1,0}) = \langle \omega^{1\bar1}, B\omega^{1\bar2} + c\,\omega^{2\bar1}\rangle$, i.e. $|B| = c$, we have that the map $d_1 \colon E_1^{0,1} \longrightarrow E_1^{1,1}$ is non-zero. Therefore, $\dim E_2^{|1|} \le \dim E_1^{|1|} - 1 = 3$, i.e. $E_1^{|1|} \ncong E_2^{|1|} \cong E_\infty^{|1|}$. Moreover, since $d_2([\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]) \neq 0$, we deduce that

$$b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{15}) \le \dim E_3^{|2|} \le \dim E_2^{|2|} - 1 \le \dim E_1^{|2|} - 2 = 7 - 2 = 5 = b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),$$

so $E_\infty^{|2|} \cong E_3^{|2|} \ncong E_2^{|2|} \ncong E_1^{|2|}$. Analogously, $d_2([\omega^{3\bar1\bar3} + B\omega^{2\bar2\bar3}]) \neq 0$, which implies

$$b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{15}) \le \dim E_3^{|3|} \le \dim E_2^{|3|} - 2 \le \dim E_1^{|3|} - 2 = 8 - 2 = 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),$$

and we conclude that $E_\infty^{|3|} \cong E_3^{|3|} \ncong E_2^{|3|} \cong E_1^{|3|}$. We also have

$$b_4(\mathfrak{h}_{15}) \le \dim E_3^{|4|} \le \dim E_2^{|4|} - 1 \le \dim E_1^{|4|} - 2 = 7 - 2 = 5 = b_4(\mathfrak{h}_{15}),$$

and therefore $E_\infty^{|4|} \cong E_3^{|4|} \ncong E_2^{|4|} \ncong E_1^{|4|}$. Consequently, $E_1 \ncong E_2 \ncong E_3 \cong E_\infty$ in case (iv.3). □

Proposition 6.3. *Let us consider $\mathfrak{g}$ with a complex structure J in the family*

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^{13} + \omega^{1\bar3}, \quad d\omega^3 = i\epsilon\,\omega^{1\bar1} \pm i(\omega^{1\bar2} - \omega^{2\bar1}),$$

with $\epsilon = 0, 1$. Then:

- (i) *The Frölicher sequence degenerates at the first step for any J on $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ ($\epsilon = 0$).*
- (ii) *Any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ ($\epsilon = 1$) satisfies $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*

Proof. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, it suffices to study the spaces $H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$ for $(p,q) = (1,0),(0,1),(2,0),(1,1),(0,2),(3,0)$ and $(2,1)$. It is easy to see that

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{1,0} = \langle[\omega^1]\rangle, \quad H_{\bar\partial}^{0,1} = \langle[\omega^{\bar1}],[\omega^{\bar3}]\rangle.$$

Moreover, the other Dolbeault groups for any complex structure in the family are:

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{\bar\partial}^{2,0} = \langle[\omega^{12}]\rangle, \qquad &&H_{\bar\partial}^{1,1} = \langle[\omega^{1\bar1}],[\omega^{2\bar3}]\rangle, \qquad &&H_{\bar\partial}^{0,2} = \langle[\omega^{\bar1\bar2}],[\omega^{\bar2\bar3}]\rangle,\\ &H_{\bar\partial}^{3,0} = \langle[\omega^{123}]\rangle, &&H_{\bar\partial}^{2,1} = \langle[\omega^{12\bar3}],[\omega^{13\bar1}]\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\dim E_1^{|2|} = 5$ and $\dim E_1^{|3|} = 6$. Since $b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-) = 3$, $b_2(\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-) = 5$ and $b_3(\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-) = 6$, we conclude that the Frölicher sequence degenerates at the first step for any J on $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$.

Next we suppose $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$. In this case $\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 > 2 = b_1(\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+)$, and so any J on $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ satisfies $E_1 \ncong E_\infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim E_1^{|1|} = 3 > 2 = b_1(\mathfrak{g}),\quad \dim E_1^{|2|} = 5 > 4 = b_2(\mathfrak{g}),\quad \dim E_1^{|3|} = 6 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}),\\ &\dim E_1^{|4|} = 5 > 4 = b_4(\mathfrak{g}),\quad \dim E_1^{|5|} = 3 > 2 = b_5(\mathfrak{g}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the maps

$$E_1^{0,1} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,1}, \qquad E_1^{2,2} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{3,2},$$

are non-zero (take for instance $[\omega^{\bar3}]$ and $[\omega^{23\bar2\bar3} \pm \omega^{23\bar1\bar3}]$), it follows that $E_2^{|k|} \cong H^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{C})$ for $k = 1,2,4,5$. $\square$

In the next result we sumarize when the Frölicher sequence collapses at the first step.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathfrak{h}_r$ be a NLA in the list of Theorem 2.1.*

- (a) *Any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_1$, $\mathfrak{h}_3$, $\mathfrak{h}_6$, $\mathfrak{h}_7$, $\mathfrak{h}_8$, $\mathfrak{h}_9$, $\mathfrak{h}_{10}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ has degenerate Frölicher sequence.*
- (b) *A complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$ has degenerate Frölicher sequence if and only if it is non-abelian.*
- (c) *Let J be a (non complex parallelizable) structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ given in Table 1. Then, the Frölicher sequence collapses at the first step if and only if $\rho D \neq 0$.*

For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ we have that $E_1 \ncong E_\infty$ for any complex structure. The next result shows the general behaviour of the Frölicher sequence on these Lie algebras and on $\mathfrak{h}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_4$ and $\mathfrak{h}_5$.

Theorem 6.5. *For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_2,\mathfrak{h}_4,\mathfrak{h}_5,\mathfrak{h}_{13},\mathfrak{h}_{14},\mathfrak{h}_{15},\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ we have:*

- (a) *Any abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_2$ or $\mathfrak{h}_4$ satisfies $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*
- (b) *Let J be a complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ given in Table 1. Then:*
  - (b.1) *if J is complex parallelizable then $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$;*
  - (b.2) *if J is not complex parallelizable and $\rho D = 0$ then $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*
- (c) *Any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{16}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$ satisfies $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.*
- (d) *Any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{13}$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{14}$ satisfies $E_1 \cong E_2 \ncong E_3 \cong E_\infty$.*
- (e) *Let J be a complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$ given in Table 1. Then:*
  - (e.1) *$E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$, if $c = 0$ and $|B-\rho| \neq 0$;*
  - (e.2) *$E_1 \cong E_2 \ncong E_3 \cong E_\infty$, if $\rho = 1$ and $|B-1| \neq c \neq 0$;*
  - (e.3) *$E_1 \ncong E_2 \ncong E_3 \cong E_\infty$, if $\rho = 0$ and $|B| \neq c \neq 0$.*

As an application of the previous results next we show explicit deformations on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ along which the behaviour of the spectral sequence changes.

Proposition 6.6. *Let J be a non complex parallelizable and non-abelian complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ given in Table 1 with non-degenerate Frölicher sequence. Then, J can be deformed into a non-abelian complex structure with degenerate Frölicher spectral sequence.*

Proof. According to Theorem 6.5, J has complex structure equations of the form

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}},$$

for some non-negative $\lambda \neq 1$. With respect to the real basis $e^1, \ldots, e^6$ given by

$$e^1 + i\,e^2 = \omega^1, \quad \frac{1}{1+\lambda}(e^3 - e^1) + \frac{i}{1-\lambda}(e^2 + e^4) = \omega^2, \quad e^5 + ie^6 = \omega^3,$$

the complex structure J expresses as

$$\begin{aligned} Je^1 &= -e^2, & Je^2 &= e^1, \\ Je^3 &= \tfrac{-2}{1-\lambda}\, e^2 - \tfrac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}\, e^4, & Je^4 &= \tfrac{-2}{1+\lambda}\, e^1 + \tfrac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}\, e^3, \\ Je^5 &= -e^6, & Je^6 &= e^5. \end{aligned}$$

The structure J can be deformed into another one with degenerate Frölicher sequence in the following way. For any $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we consider the complex structure J_t given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_te^1 &= \tfrac{4d(1-\lambda)}{\alpha^2}\, e^1 - \tfrac{1-\lambda^2}{\alpha}\, e^2 - \tfrac{2d(1-\lambda)^2}{\alpha^2}\, e^3 + \tfrac{8d^2(1-\lambda)}{\alpha^3}\, e^4, \\ J_te^2 &= \tfrac{1-\lambda^2}{\alpha}\, e^1 + \tfrac{2d(1-\lambda^2)}{\alpha^2}\, e^4, \\ J_te^3 &= \tfrac{-2d}{(1-\lambda)^2}\, e^1 - \tfrac{2\alpha}{(1-\lambda^2)(1-\lambda)}\, e^2 - \tfrac{(1+\lambda)^2}{\alpha}\, e^4, \\ J_te^4 &= \tfrac{-2(1-\lambda)}{\alpha}\, e^1 + \tfrac{2d}{1-\lambda^2}\, e^2 + \tfrac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\alpha}\, e^3 - \tfrac{4d(1-\lambda)}{\alpha^2}\, e^4, \\ J_te^5 &= \tfrac{2d}{1-\lambda^2}\, e^5 - \tfrac{4d^2+(1-\lambda^2)^2}{\alpha(1-\lambda^2)}\, e^6, \\ J_te^6 &= \tfrac{\alpha}{1-\lambda^2}\, e^5 - \tfrac{2d}{1-\lambda^2}\, e^6, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{(1-\lambda^2)^2 - 4d^2}$, and

$$d(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ t\lambda^2/4, & \text{if } \lambda^2 \in (0, 1/2), \\ t(1-\lambda^2)/4, & \text{if } \lambda^2 \in [1/2, 1), \\ -t(1-\lambda^2)/4, & \text{if } \lambda^2 > 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $J_0 = J$. Now, the $(1,0)$-basis

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 &= \tfrac{1-\lambda^2}{\alpha}\, e^1 + \tfrac{2d(1-\lambda^2)}{\alpha^2}\, e^4 + i\, e^2, \\ \omega^2 &= \tfrac{1-\lambda}{\alpha}(e^3 - e^1) - \tfrac{2d(1-\lambda)}{\alpha^2} e^4 + \tfrac{i}{1-\lambda}\left(\tfrac{2d}{\alpha} e^1 + e^2 + \tfrac{(1-\lambda^2)^2}{\alpha^2} e^4\right), \\ \omega^3 &= e^5 - \tfrac{2d}{\alpha} e^6 + i\tfrac{1-\lambda^2}{\alpha} e^6, \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

with $D = i\,d(t,\lambda)$. According to Theorem 6.4, the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerates if and only if $D \neq 0$, i.e. if and only if $t > 0$. $\square$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$ has a rich complex geometry with respect to the Frölicher sequence and in the next example we construct a continuous curve J_t along which the three cases (e) in Theorem 6.5 are realized.

Example 6.7. On $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, let us consider the following continuous family of complex structures

$$\begin{aligned} J_t e^1 &= -\sqrt{\frac{3(3-\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}{(5+\sin t)(11-\sin t)}}\, e^2, \\ J_t e^3 &= \sqrt{\frac{3(3-\sin t)(11-\sin t)}{(5+\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}}\, e^4, \\ J_t e^5 &= -\sqrt{\frac{(11-\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}{3(3-\sin t)(5+\sin t)}}\, e^6, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$4\,\omega^1 = \sqrt{(11-\sin t)(5+\sin t)}\, e^1 + i\,\sqrt{3(3-\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}\, e^2,$$

$$8\,\omega^2 = (5+\sin t)(7+3\sin t)\, e^3 - i\,\sqrt{3(5+\sin t)(3-\sin t)(11-\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}\, e^4,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 128\,\omega^3 = (5+\sin t)(7+3\sin t)\ \Big[& 3(3-\sin t)\sqrt{(11-\sin t)(5+\sin t)}\, e^5 \\ & + i\,(11-\sin t)\sqrt{3(3-\sin t)(7+3\sin t)}\, e^6 \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\{\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3\}$ is a $(1,0)$-basis for J_t satisfying

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 = 0, \\ d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \\ d\omega^3 = \frac{1-\sin t}{2}\,\omega^{12} + 2\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1+\sin t}{4}\,\omega^{2\bar{1}}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the complex structure J_t is abelian if and only if $t = \frac{4k+1}{2}\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, since for $t \neq \frac{4k+1}{2}\pi$ the structure J_t is not abelian, the complex structure equations can be written as

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 = 0, \\ d\omega^2 = \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \frac{4}{1-\sin t}\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1+\sin t}{2(1-\sin t)}\,\omega^{2\bar{1}}. \end{cases}$$

Concerning the Frölicher spectral sequence for the family $\{J_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ we have that:

- For $t = \frac{4k+1}{2}\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain case (e.1) in Theorem 6.5;
- For $t = \frac{4k-1}{2}\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain case (e.3) in Theorem 6.5;
- For any other value of t, we obtain case (e.2) in Theorem 6.5.

6.1. **Applications to the complex geometry of nilmanifolds.**

The results about the Frölicher sequence above are still valid on nilmanifolds in the following sense. Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. Rollenske proved in [26, Section 4.2] that if $\mathfrak{g} \not\cong \mathfrak{h}_7$ then the natural inclusion

$$\left(\bigwedge\nolimits^{p,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \bar{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^{p,\bullet}(M), \bar{\partial})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\iota\colon H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(M)$$

between the Lie-algebra Dolbeault cohomology of $(\mathfrak{g}, J)$ and the Dolbeault cohomology of M.

Consider the natural maps $\iota\colon E^{p,q}_r(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow E^{p,q}_r(M)$. Since the homomorphisms d_r in (20) are induced by ∂, an inductive argument [12, Theorem 4.2] using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & E_r^{p-r,q+r-1}(M) \arrow[r, "d_r"] & E_r^{p,q}(M) \arrow[r, "d_r"] & E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}(M) \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & E_r^{p-r,q+r-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "d_r"] \arrow[u, "\iota"] & E_r^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "d_r"] \arrow[u, "\iota"] & E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\iota"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

implies that ι are isomorphisms. Therefore,

$$E^{p,q}_r(M) \cong E^{p,q}_r(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{23}$$

for any p, q and any $r \geq 1$, whenever $\mathfrak{g} \ncong \mathfrak{h}_7$.

Corollary 6.8. *Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J such that the underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ncong \mathfrak{h}_7$. Then the Frölicher spectral sequence $E^{p,q}_r(M)$ is given by Theorems 6.4 and 6.5.*

Notice that Proposition 6.6 and Example 6.7 provide explicit families of complex structures on nilmanifolds corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}_5$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{15}$, respectively, along which the Frölicher spectral sequence varies. In Corollary 7.10 bellow it is shown the behaviour of the Frölicher sequence on a nilmanifold with underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_4$ under holomorphic deformation around its abelian complex structure. In contrast, there are many complex nilmanifolds for which the Frölicher spectral sequence is stable under small deformations of the complex structure, as the next result shows.

Corollary 6.9. *Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. If $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_3, \mathfrak{h}_6, \mathfrak{h}_8, \mathfrak{h}_9, \mathfrak{h}_{10}, \mathfrak{h}_{11}, \mathfrak{h}_{12}, \mathfrak{h}_{13}, \mathfrak{h}_{14}, \mathfrak{h}_{16}, \mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$, then the behaviour of the Frölicher spectral sequence $E^{p,q}_r(M, J)$ is stable under small deformation of J.*

Proof. By [25, Theorem 2.6], all small deformations of the complex structure J are again invariant complex structures. From the proof of Propositions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 it follows that if $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_r$, $r = 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16$, or $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{19}^-, \mathfrak{h}_{26}^+$, then $\dim E^{p,q}_r(M)$ does not depend on the invariant complex structure on M for any p, q and any $r \geq 1$, so it is stable under small deformation of J. □

In [3] the authors pose the following problem: to construct a compact complex manifold such that $E_1 \cong E_\infty$ and $h^{p,q}_{\bar\partial} = h^{q,p}_{\bar\partial}$ for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ but for which the $\partial\bar\partial$-Lemma does not hold. Since nilmanifolds do not satisfy the $\partial\bar\partial$-Lemma, unless they are complex tori, the following result provides a solution.

Corollary 6.10. *Let J be any invariant complex structure on a nilmanifold M with underlying Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_6$. Then $E_1(M) \cong E_\infty(M)$ and the Hodge* *numbers satisfy*

$$\begin{gathered}
h^{0,0}_{\bar\partial}(M)=1,\\
h^{1,0}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\quad h^{0,1}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\\
h^{2,0}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\quad h^{1,1}_{\bar\partial}(M)=5,\quad h^{0,2}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\\
h^{3,0}_{\bar\partial}(M)=1,\quad h^{2,1}_{\bar\partial}(M)=5,\quad h^{1,2}_{\bar\partial}(M)=5,\quad h^{0,3}_{\bar\partial}(M)=1,\\
h^{3,1}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\quad h^{2,2}_{\bar\partial}(M)=5,\quad h^{1,3}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\\
h^{3,2}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\quad h^{2,3}_{\bar\partial}(M)=2,\\
h^{3,3}_{\bar\partial}(M)=1.
\end{gathered}$$

Proof. By [26] we can restrict our attention to the level of the Lie algebra and then, in view of Table 1, the above Hodge numbers follow directly from the proof of Proposition 6.1 for the particular choice $\rho=\lambda=1$ and $D=0$. □

7. Strongly Gauduchon metrics and Frölicher spectral sequence

Let (M,J) be a complex manifold of complex dimension n. A Hermitian structure F is *strongly Gauduchon* (*sG* for short) if ∂F^{n-1} is $\bar\partial$-exact [22]. In particular, any balanced Hermitian structure (i.e. $dF^{n-1}=0$) is sG, and any sG metric is a *Gauduchon* metric [18], that is, F^{n-1} is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed or equivalently the Lee form is co-closed.

Next we suppose that M is a nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J and show that the existence of sG metrics on (M,J) is reduced to the existence of sG metrics at the Lie algebra level.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $M=\Gamma\backslash G$ be a $2n$-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. If (M,J) has an sG metric then there is an invariant sG metric.*

Proof. The proof is based on the symmetrization process given in [5] (see also [15, 29]). Let $\nu=d\tau$ be a volume element on M induced by a bi-invariant one on the Lie group G such that, after rescaling, M has volume equal to 1. Given any k-form $\alpha\colon\mathfrak{X}(M)\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{X}(M)\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ on the nilmanifold M, we define $\alpha_\nu\colon\mathfrak{g}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha_\nu(X_1,\dots,X_k)=\int_{m\in M}\alpha_m(X_1\,|_m,\dots,X_k\,|_m)\,\nu\ ,\qquad\text{for } X_1,\dots,X_k\in\mathfrak{g},$$

where $X_j\,|_m$ is the value at the point $m\in M$ of the projection on M of the left-invariant vector field X_j on the Lie group G. In [5] it is proved that $(d\alpha)_\nu=d\alpha_\nu$.

Given an invariant complex structure J on M we can extend the symmetrization process to complex forms and, as in the proof of [31, Proposition 3.2], if α is a form on M of pure type (p,q) then

$$(\partial\alpha)_\nu=\partial\alpha_\nu,\quad(\bar\partial\alpha)_\nu=\bar\partial\alpha_\nu.$$

By [15, Theorem 2.2] there is an invariant J-Hermitian structure Ω such that $(F^{n-1})_\nu=\Omega^{n-1}$. Since F is sG, there is γ such that $\partial F^{n-1}=\bar\partial\gamma$. By the previous argument we get

$$\partial\Omega^{n-1}=\partial((F^{n-1})_\nu)=(\partial F^{n-1})_\nu=(\bar\partial\gamma)_\nu=\bar\partial(\gamma_\nu),$$

that is, Ω is sG. □

Corollary 7.2. *Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a $2n$-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant Hermitian structure (J, Ω). If J is abelian then, Ω is sG if and only if it is balanced.*

Proof. It follows directly from the fact that $\bar\partial(\bigwedge^{n,n-2}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) = 0$ for any abelian complex structure. $\square$

From now on we consider $n = 3$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. If there exists an sG metric then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{h}_6$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.1 it suffices to study the invariant case. Let us start with the non-nilpotent case. The fundamental 2-form of any J-Hermitian metric is given by

$$(24)\quad 2\,\Omega = i\,(r^2\omega^{1\bar1} + s^2\omega^{2\bar2} + t^2\omega^{3\bar3}) + u\omega^{1\bar2} - \bar u\omega^{2\bar1} + v\omega^{2\bar3} - \bar v\omega^{3\bar2} + z\omega^{1\bar3} - \bar z\omega^{3\bar1},$$

where coefficients r^2, s^2, t^2 are non-zero real numbers and u, v, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $r^2s^2 > |u|^2$, $s^2t^2 > |v|^2$, $r^2t^2 > |z|^2$ and $r^2s^2t^2 + 2\mathfrak{Re}\,(i\bar u\bar v z) > t^2|u|^2 + r^2|v|^2 + s^2|z|^2$. Using the calculations in the proof of [29, Proposition 25] and Proposition 5.2 we get that

$$4\partial\Omega\wedge\Omega = \big(i\epsilon(s^2t^2 - |v|^2) \pm (t^2u + t^2\bar u + iv\bar z - i\bar v z)\big)\,\omega^{123\bar1\bar2} + \big(uv - is^2z\big)\,\omega^{123\bar1\bar3}.$$

Since $\bar\partial(\bigwedge^{3,1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) = \langle\omega^{123\bar1\bar3}\rangle$, if the Hermitian structure (J, Ω) is sG then

$$\mp i\epsilon(s^2t^2 - |v|^2) = t^2(u + \bar u) + iv\bar z - i\bar v z.$$

Since the left-hand side is purely imaginary and the right-hand side is real, we have $\epsilon = 0$ and therefore $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$.

For the nilpotent case, let us consider the general complex equations (2). Now, the fundamental 2-form of any J-Hermitian metric is given also by (24). Using again [29, Proposition 25], we get

$$\begin{aligned}4\partial\Omega\wedge\Omega = &\big((1-\epsilon)\bar A(s^2t^2 - |v|^2) + \bar B(it^2u + \bar v z) - \bar C(it^2\bar u - v\bar z)\\ &+ (1-\epsilon)\bar D(r^2t^2 - |z|^2)\big)\,\omega^{123\bar1\bar2} - \epsilon(s^2t^2 - |v|^2)\,\omega^{123\bar1\bar3}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar\partial(\bigwedge^{3,1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) = \langle\rho\,\omega^{123\bar1\bar2}\rangle$, if the Hermitian structure (J, Ω) is sG then $\epsilon = 0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. Moreover, if in addition $\rho = 1$, then any J-Hermitian structure is sG. $\square$

Remark 7.4. From the proof of the previous proposition it follows that on $\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_4, \mathfrak{h}_5$ and $\mathfrak{h}_6$, if J is a non-abelian nilpotent complex structure then any invariant J-Hermitian metric is sG.

As pointed out by Popovici [23], the degeneration of the Frölicher sequence at E_1 and the existence of strongly Gauduchon metrics are unrelated. From the previous proposition and from Theorems 6.4 and 6.5 we get:

Theorem 7.5. *Let $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J. If there exists an sG metric then the Frölicher sequence degenerates at the second level, i.e. $E_2(M) \cong E_\infty(M)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.3, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ underlying $M = \Gamma\backslash G$ is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_7$. Therefore, it follows from (23) that $E_r^{p,q}(M) \cong E_r^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g})$ and since $\mathfrak{g} \ncong \mathfrak{h}_{13}, \mathfrak{h}_{14}, \mathfrak{h}_{15}$, the Frölicher sequence degenerates at the second level by Theorems 6.4 and 6.5. □

It is interesting whether this result holds in general, that is:

Question 7.6. *Does the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerate at the second step for any compact complex manifold M of complex dimension 3 admitting a strongly Gauduchon metric?*

Notice that the list of Lie algebras in Proposition 7.3 is the same as the list of those admitting balanced Hermitian metrics [29]. As pointed out by Popovici [23, Theorem 1.8], there are compact complex manifolds having sG metrics but not admitting any balanced metric. Next we show the general situation for nilmanifolds in dimension 6.

Proposition 7.7. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ has a complex structure J having sG metrics but not admitting any balanced metric, then J is non-abelian nilpotent and $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_4$ or $\mathfrak{h}_5$. Moreover, according to the classification given in Table 1, such a J is given by: $x + y^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_2$; $x \geq \frac{1}{4}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_4$; and $\lambda = 0, y \neq 0$ or $\lambda = y = 0, x \geq 0$ on $\mathfrak{h}_5$.*

Proof. Any complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_6$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{19}^-$ admits balanced metrics. From [31] we have that only $\mathfrak{h}_3$ and $\mathfrak{h}_5$ have abelian complex structures J admitting balanced metric. In fact, any such J on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ admits balanced Hermitian metrics, whereas for $\mathfrak{h}_3$ the complex structure must be equivalent to the choice of $(-)$-sign in Table 1. From Corollary 7.2, it remains to study the non-abelian nilpotent complex structures J on $\mathfrak{h}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_4$ and $\mathfrak{h}_5$. Since any such J admits sG metrics by Remark 7.4, next we show which of them do not admit balanced metric.

In any case the complex equations are of the form

$$d\omega^1 = 0, \qquad d\omega^2 = 0, \qquad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + D\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}. \tag{25}$$

A similar argument as in the proof of [31, Proposition 2.3] shows that, up to equivalence, the fundamental 2-form of any J-Hermitian metric is given by

$$2\,\Omega = i\,(\omega^{1\bar{1}} + s^2\,\omega^{2\bar{2}} + t^2\,\omega^{3\bar{3}}) + u\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} - \bar{u}\,\omega^{2\bar{1}}, \tag{26}$$

where $s^2 > |u|^2$ and $t^2 > 0$.

If $D = x + yi$ and $u = u_1 + u_2 i$, the balanced condition is

$$s^2 + x + y\,i = u_2\lambda + u_1\lambda\,i. \tag{27}$$

We distinguish several cases depending on the values of λ.

If $\lambda \neq 0$ then Ω is balanced if and only if $u_1 = y/\lambda$ and $u_2 = (s^2 + x)/\lambda$. The condition $s^2 > |u|^2$ is equivalent to $s^4 + (2x - \lambda^2)s^2 + x^2 + y^2 < 0$ and it is easy to see that a non-zero s satisfying this condition exists if and only if

$$\lambda^4 - 4x\lambda^2 - 4y^2 > 0. \tag{28}$$

From Table 1, for $\mathfrak{h}_2$ we get any J such that $x + y^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$ has no balanced metrics. Similarly, for $\mathfrak{h}_4$ we get that any J such that $x \geq \frac{1}{4}$ has no balanced metrics.

For $\mathfrak{h}_5$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ we have that $x = 0$ by Table 1. Thus, there is no balanced metrics if and only if $\lambda^4 \leq 4y^2$. Since $y \geq 0$, this is equivalent to $\lambda^2 \leq 2y$. But from

Table 1 we get that this cannot happen, therefore for $\lambda \neq 0$ the complex structures admit balanced metric.

Finally, in the case $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ we get that the balanced condition (27) reduces to $y = 0$ and $s^2 = -x > 0$. From Table 1 we have that $0 < 1+4x$, i.e. $x \in (-\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$. Therefore, if $y \neq 0$ or $y = 0, x \geq 0$ then there are no balanced metrics. $\square$

In the following table we show the complex structures J, up to equivalence, on $\mathfrak{h}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{h}_6$ that admit balanced Hermitian metrics.

$\mathfrak{g}$	Abelian structures admitting balanced metrics	Non-Abelian Nilpotent structures admitting balanced metrics
$\mathfrak{h}_1$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = 0$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_2$	—	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + (x+iy)\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, $y > 0, \quad x + y^2 < \frac{1}{4}$
$\mathfrak{h}_3$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} - \omega^{2\bar{2}}$	—
$\mathfrak{h}_4$	—	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + x\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, $x < \frac{1}{4},\ x \neq 0$
$\mathfrak{h}_5$	$d\omega^2 = 0$, $d\omega^3 = \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + x\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, $0 \leq x < \frac{1}{4}$	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12}$
		$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \lambda\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + (x+iy)\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}$, with (λ, x, y) satisfying one of: • $\lambda = y = 0$, $x \in \left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$; • $0 < \lambda^2 < \frac{1}{2},\ 0 \leq y < \frac{\lambda^2}{2},\ x = 0$; • $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda^2 < 1,\ 0 \leq y < \frac{1-\lambda^2}{2},\ x = 0$; • $\lambda^2 > 1,\ 0 \leq y < \frac{\lambda^2-1}{2},\ x = 0$.
$\mathfrak{h}_6$	—	$d\omega^2 = 0,\ d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}}$

Motivated by [23, Theorem 1.9] next we study the relation between the degeneration of the Frölicher spectral sequence and the existence of sG or balanced metrics. The possibilities are well illustrated in the following deformations of the complex structure corresponding to $\lambda = x = y = 0$ on $\mathfrak{h}_5$.

Example 7.8. Let $J_{\lambda, D=x+iy}$ be a non-abelian nilpotent complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ as in the Table 1.

If $\lambda = 0, D = 0$ then there are sG metrics, there do not exist balanced metrics and $E_1 \not\cong E_2 \cong E_\infty$. In terms of the standard real basis $e^1, \ldots, e^6$ on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ the complex structure $J_{0,0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{0,0}\, e^1 &= -e^2, & J_{0,0}\, e^2 &= e^1, \\ J_{0,0}\, e^3 &= -(2e^2 + e^4), & J_{0,0}\, e^4 &= -2e^1 + e^3, \\ J_{0,0}\, e^5 &= -e^6, & J_{0,0} e^6 &= e^5. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following deformations of $J_{0,0}$ in the x-direction:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{0,x}\, e^1 &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{1+4x}}\left[(4x-1)e^2+2xe^4\right], & J_{0,x}\, e^2 &= \sqrt{1+4x}\, e^1 + \tfrac{2x}{\sqrt{1+4x}}\, e^3, \\ J_{0,x}\, e^3 &= -\sqrt{1+4x}\,(2e^2+e^4), & J_{0,x}\, e^4 &= -2\sqrt{1+4x}\, e^1 + \tfrac{1-4x}{\sqrt{1+4x}}\, e^3, \\ J_{0,x}\, e^5 &= \tfrac{-1}{\sqrt{1+4x}}\, e^6, & J_{0,x} e^6 &= \sqrt{1+4x}\, e^5. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in (-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$ then there are balanced metrics and $E_1 \cong E_\infty$.

If $x \in (0, \infty)$ then there are sG metrics, there do not exist balanced metrics and $E_1 \cong E_\infty$.

Finally, let us consider the following deformation of $J_{0,0}$ in the λ-direction:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,0}\, e^1 &= -e^2, & J_{\lambda,0}\, e^2 &= e^1, \\ J_{\lambda,0}\, e^3 &= \tfrac{-1}{1-\lambda}(2e^2+(1+\lambda)\, e^4), & J_{\lambda,0}\, e^4 &= \tfrac{1}{1+\lambda}(-2e^1+(1-\lambda)\, e^3), \\ J_{\lambda,0}\, e^5 &= -e^6, & J_{\lambda,0} e^6 &= e^5. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda^2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ then there are balanced metrics and $E_1 \ncong E_2 \cong E_\infty$.

7.1. **On deformation closedness of sG and balanced metrics.**

Let Δ be an open disc around the origin in $\mathbb{C}$. Following [23, Definition 1.12], a given property $\mathcal{P}$ of a compact complex manifold is said to be *open* under holomorphic deformations if for every holomorphic family of compact complex manifolds $(M, J_a)_{a\in\Delta}$ and for every $a_0 \in \Delta$ the following implication holds:

$$(M, J_{a_0}) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P} \Longrightarrow (M, J_a) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P} \text{ for all } a \in \Delta \text{ sufficiently close to } a_0.$$

A given property $\mathcal{P}$ of a compact complex manifold is said to be *closed* under holomorphic deformations if for every holomorphic family of compact complex manifolds $(M, J_a)_{a\in\Delta}$ and for every $a_0 \in \Delta$ the following implication holds:

$$(M, J_a) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P} \text{ for all } a \in \Delta\backslash\{a_0\} \Longrightarrow (M, J_{a_0}) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}.$$

Alessandrini and Bassanelli proved in [1] (see also [15]) that the balanced property of compact complex manifolds is not deformation open. In contrast, Popovici has shown in [22] that the sG property is open under holomorphic deformations, and conjectured in [23, Conjectures 1.21 and 1.23] that both the sG and the balanced properties of compact complex manifolds are closed under holomorphic deformation.

The following result provides a counterexample to both conjectures. We consider a compact nilmanifold M with underlying Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_4$. The abelian complex structure J_0 on M does not admit sG metrics, so it is sufficient to deform holomorphically J_0 in an open disc Δ around the origin such that J_a admits balanced metric for any $a \neq 0$. Using the Kuranishi's method, Maclaughlin, Pedersen, Poon and Salamon proved in [20] that J_0 has a locally complete family of deformations consisting entirely of invariant complex structures and obtained the deformation parameter space in terms of invariant forms. We will combine this result with our existence result of balanced metrics in the table above.

Theorem 7.9. *Let (M, J_0) be a compact nilmanifold with underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_4$ endowed with abelian complex structure J_0. Then, there is a holomorphic family of compact complex manifolds $(M, J_a)_{a\in\Delta}$, where $\Delta = \{a \in \mathbb{C} \mid |a| < 1\}$, such that (M, J_a) has a balanced metric for each $a \in \Delta\backslash\{0\}$.*

Proof. Let us consider the structure equations of the abelian complex structure J_0 as

$$d\eta^1 = d\eta^2 = 0, \quad d\eta^3 = \frac{i}{2}\eta^{1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2\bar{1}}.$$

For each $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|a| < 1$, we consider the basis of (1,0)-forms $\{\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3\}$ given by

$$\mu^1 = \eta^1 + a\eta^{\bar{1}} - ia\eta^{\bar{2}}, \quad \mu^2 = \eta^2, \quad \mu^3 = \eta^3.$$

Notice that this corresponds to $\Phi^1_{\bar{1}} = a$, $\Phi^1_{\bar{2}} = -ia$ and $\Phi^2_{\bar{1}} = \Phi^2_{\bar{2}} = \Phi^3_{\bar{1}} = \Phi^3_{\bar{2}} = \Phi^3_{\bar{3}} = 0$ in the parameter space for J_0 obtained in [20, Example 8].

A direct calculation shows that

$$d\mu^1 = d\mu^2 = 0, \quad 2(1-|a|^2)d\mu^3 = 2\bar{a}\mu^{12} + i\mu^{1\bar{1}} + \mu^{1\bar{2}} + \mu^{2\bar{1}} - i|a|^2\mu^{2\bar{2}},$$

so the equations define a complex structure J_a for each $a \in \Delta$. If $a = 0$ then the complex nilmanifold (M, J_0) does not admit sG metrics because J_0 is abelian.

For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |a| < 1$ the complex structure is nilpotent but not abelian. In this case we can normalize the coefficient of μ^{12} by taking $\frac{1-|a|^2}{\bar{a}}\mu^3$ instead of μ^3, so we can suppose that the complex structure equations are

$$d\mu^1 = d\mu^2 = 0, \quad d\mu^3 = \mu^{12} + \frac{i}{2\bar{a}}\mu^{1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2\bar{a}}(\mu^{1\bar{2}} + \mu^{2\bar{1}}) - \frac{ia}{2}\mu^{2\bar{2}}.$$

With respect to the (1,0)-basis $\omega^1 = \mu^1 - i\mu^2$, $\omega^2 = -2\bar{a}i\,\mu^2$ and $\omega^3 = -2\bar{a}i\,\mu^3$, the structure equations for J_a become

$$d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{a}\,\omega^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1-|a|^2}{4|a|^2}\,\omega^{2\bar{2}}.$$

Now, as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can suppose that the coefficient of $\omega^{1\bar{2}}$ is equal to $1/|a|$.

In conclusion, for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |a| < 1$ there exists a (1,0)-basis for which the complex equations are of the form (25) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{|a|}$ and $D = \frac{1-|a|^2}{4|a|^2}$. Taking $x = \mathfrak{Re}\, D = \frac{1-|a|^2}{4|a|^2}$ and $y = \mathfrak{Im}\, D = 0$, one has $4x + \rho - \lambda^2 = 0$ according to Proposition 3.1 (ii.2). Now, following the proof of Proposition 7.7, since $\lambda \neq 0$ the complex structure J_a admits a balanced metric if and only if (28) is satisfied. But the latter condition reads

$$\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 4x) = \frac{1}{|a|^2} > 0,$$

so there exists a balanced Hermitian metric for each $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |a| < 1$. $\square$

It is well-known that the property of *the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerating at* E_1 is open under holomorphic deformations. In [14, Theorem 5.4] it is proved that this property is not closed under holomorphic deformations. As a consequence of (23) and Theorems 6.4 and 7.9 one has another example based on the complex geometry of $\mathfrak{h}_4$.

Corollary 7.10. *Let* (M, J_0) *be a compact nilmanifold with underlying Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{h}_4$ *endowed with abelian complex structure* J_0*. There is a holomorphic family of compact complex manifolds* $(M, J_a)_{a\in\Delta}$*, where* $\Delta = \{a \in \mathbb{C} \mid |a| < 1\}$*, such that* $E_1(M, J_a) \cong E_\infty(M, J_a)$ *for each* $a \in \Delta\backslash\{0\}$*, but* $E_1(M, J_0) \not\cong E_\infty(M, J_0)$*.*

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank D. Popovici for very useful comments concerning deformation stability of the sG and the balanced properties. At the time of writing the version of June 2012 including Theorem 7.9, we learned that M. Verbitsky may also have found independently a counterexample to the closedness conjecture for the sG property. This work has been partially supported through Projects MICINN (Spain) MTM2008-06540-C02-02, MTM2010-19336 and MTM2011-28326-C02-01. Raquel Villacampa would like to thank the IMUS (Instituto de Matemáticas de la Universidad de Sevilla Antonio de Castro Brzezicki) for having awarded her with a post-doctoral stay in Seville.

References

[1] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli, Small deformations of a class of compact non-Kähler manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), 1059–1062.

[2] A. Andrada, M.L. Barberis, I.G. Dotti, Classification of abelian complex structures on 6-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **83** (2011), 232–255; arXiv:0908.3213v4 [math.RA].

[3] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-Lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology, to appear in *Invent. Math.*

[4] M.L. Barberis, I. Dotti, M. Verbitsky, Canonical bundles of complex nilmanifolds, with applications to hypercomplex geometry, *Math. Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), 331–347.

[5] F.A. Belgun, On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), 1-40.

[6] R. Cleyton, Y.-S. Poon, Differential Gerstenhaber algebras associated to nilpotent algebras, *Asian J. Math.* **12** (2008), 225–249.

[7] S. Console, A. Fino, Dolbeault cohomology of compact nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **6** (2001), 111–124.

[8] S. Console, A. Fino, Y.S. Poon, Stability of abelian complex structures, *Int. J. Math.* **17** (2006), 401–416.

[9] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, The Frölicher spectral sequence and complex compact nilmanifolds, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), 753–756.

[10] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, The Frölicher spectral sequence for compact nilmanifolds, *Illinois J. Math.* **35** (1991), 56–67.

[11] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, L. Ugarte, A general description of the terms in the Frölicher spectral sequence, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **7** (1997), 75–84.

[12] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, L. Ugarte, Frölicher spectral sequence of compact nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structure, New developments in differential geometry, Budapest 1996, 77102, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.

[13] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, L. Ugarte, Compact nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structure: Dolbeault cohomology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 5405–5433.

[14] M.G. Eastwood, M.A. Singer, The Frölicher spectral sequence on a twistor space, *J. Differential Geom.* **38** (1993), 653–669.

[15] A. Fino, G. Grantcharov, Properties of manifolds with skew-symmetric torsion and special holonomy, *Adv. Math.* **189** (2004), 439–450.

[16] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, Families of strong KT structures in six dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004), 317–340.

[17] A. Frölicher, Relations between the cohomology groups of Dolbeault and topological invariants, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **41** (1955), 641–644.

[18] P. Gauduchon, La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.* **267** (1984), 495-518.

[19] G. Ketsetzis, S. Salamon, Complex structures on the Iwasawa manifold, *Adv. Geom.* **4** (2004), 165–179.

[20] C. Maclaughlin, H. Pedersen, Y.S. Poon, S. Salamon, Deformation of 2-step nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **73** (2006), 173–193.

[21] H.V. Pittie, The nondegeneration of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1989), 19–22.

[22] D. Popovici, Stability of strongly Gauduchon manifolds under modifications, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*

[23] D. Popovici, Deformation openness and closedness of various classes of compact complex manifolds; Examples, to appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa.*

[24] S. Rollenske, The Frölicher spectral sequence can be arbitrarily non-degenerate, *Math. Ann.* **341** (2008), 623–628.

[25] S. Rollenske, Lie-algebra Dolbeault-cohomology and small deformations of nilmanifolds, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **79** (2009), 346–362.

[26] S. Rollenske, Geometry of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure and deformations in the large, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **99** (2009), 425–460.

[27] S. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), 311–333.

[28] D. Tanré, Modèle de Dolbeault et fibré holomorphe, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **91** (1994), 333–345.

[29] L. Ugarte, Hermitian structures on six dimensional nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **12** (2007), 175–202.

[30] L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Non-nilpotent complex geometry of nilmanifolds and heterotic supersymmetry, arXiv:0912.5110v1 [math.DG].

[31] L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Balanced Hermitian geometry on 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, arXiv:1104.5524v2 [math.DG].

(M. Ceballos) DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS. APARTADO 1160, 41080 SEVILLA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `mceballos@us.es`

(A. Otal and R. Villacampa) CENTRO UNIVERSITARIO DE LA DEFENSA - I.U.M.A., ACADEMIA GENERAL MILITAR, CRTA. DE HUESCA S/N. 50090 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `aotal@unizar.es`
E-mail address: `raquelvg@unizar.es`

(L. Ugarte) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS - I.U.M.A., UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, CAMPUS PLAZA SAN FRANCISCO, 50009 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `ugarte@unizar.es`